UNIXED STATES COAST GUARD

ADDRESS REPLY TO: COMMANDANT U.S. COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

17 May, 1950 FILE: OE-5-2/6 (SR SEATRAIN TRIAS MY RESPONS (Swedish) 9-5)

From: Chief, Morohant Vessel Inspection Division

Te: Commandant

Vis: Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety

Subj: Marine Board of Investigation: Collision American steam freighter SEATRAIN TEXAS and Swedish notor voscel EXEFORS below Marrows in New York Harbor on 16 December, 1940.

- Pursuant to the provisions of Title 46 C.F.R. Part 156, the record of the Marine Board convened to investigate subject essualty, together with its Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Recommendations, has been reviewed and is forwarded herewith.
- 2. The American steem freighter SMATRAIN TRIAS of 8106 grees tone, loaded with freight care, bound from New York to New Orleans, collided at approximately 1750 on 16 December, 1949, with the Swedish motor freighter EXEFCES, inbound from sea in a position off Fort Wedsworth Light below the Marrows in New York Earbor. The visibility was clear, light northeast wind and flood tide.
- 5. As a result of this easualty, no persons lost their lives and only one minor injury resulted. The EKEFCES' damage, however, was estimated at \$52,682 and that of the SEATRAIN TEXAS at \$77,874.
- 4. The Board made the following Findings of Facts
 - "(1) The SE SEATRALN TRIAS and the motor vessel EXEFORS collided on 16 December, 1949 at 1750 below The Marrows in New York Harbor.
 - (2) The SEATEANN TRIAS is a steam vessel of American registry constructed to carry railroad cars, propelled by turbine and single serow, of 8108 gross tons, 465 feet long, official number 52986, and owned and operated by the Seatrain Lines, Inc., of Wilmington, Delaware. The vessel was in command of the command of the Colone, Inc.
 - (5) The EKEFORS is a motor vessel of Swedish registry, 2745 gross tons, 540 feet long, owned by the with the York City, as the local agents. Her master is the local of Sollentum, Sweden.

17 May, 1950 GES-8/4 (SS SMITRAIN THYAS - NY RESPONS (Swedish) e-5)

- (4) At the time of the collision the weather conditions were good, with clear visibility and light northerly wind, and flood tide.
- (5) The EKEFORS was inhound from sea having arrived at Ambrose Light Vessel at approximately 1750 on 16 December, 1968. Pilot Leon Oldnizon boarded the vessel and proceeded up the channel under full harbor speed, which was estimated to be approximately thirteen knots. After energing from the upper end of Aubrose Channel, the BESFORS pessed Graven Shoel Dany close abourd on the pert side and the vessel was handed to the westward, to pass outbound traffic starboard to starboard in order to reach the Quarantine Anchorage without having to round to, head to tide. When in the vicinity of Graven Shoal Busy, the range lights and side lights of the SMATRAIN THIAS were observed fine on the starboard bow, and the vessel's course was altered slightly to the westward. Subsequently the EXEFCES secured two blasts of the whistle for a starboard passing of the SEATRAIN THIAS, which was still on the starboard bow, now showing her red light. So answer was heard from the SEATRAIN TEXAS to this signal, and the pilot of the EXEFCES sounded another two blast signal. This signal was followed by a danger signal, after which the EEEFORS applied hard left radder and stopped and reversed her engines. When the vessels were approximately 800 feet apart, the port anchor of the EXEPORS was also let go. Shortly thereafter the vessels collided, the stem of the EEFORS striking the port side of the SEATRAIN TRIAS emidships at approximately a 90-degree angle.
- (6) The SEATRAIN TRIAS left the Seatrain Terminal at Edgewater, New Jersey, leaded with railroad ours at about 1880, bound for New Orleans, La. Sandy Rook Pilot was on board, serving under authority of his Pederal License. After the tugs had straightened the vessel out in the streem and the desking pilot had left, Captain took over the con. The vessel proceeded dometreen, keeping to ti westward of the channel, and when approaching The Marrows the range lights and side lights of the EXEFORS were sighted slightly on the port bow. At that time the TRIAS was on a course of 175 degrees true, with Craven Shoal Buoy bearing fine on the port bow. A one-blast signal was sounded by the SEATRAIN TRIAS for a port to port passing and a slight alteration of course to the right was also made in order to provide emple room to pass clear, as another outbound vessel, the SS SANTA MINICA of the Grace Line, was overhauling the SEATRAIN TEXAS on her part quarter. Receiving no answer to the one-blast signal, the pilot of the TEXAS sounded another one-blast signal and subsequent therete the running lights of the EXEFORS indicated that she was altering course to her left. The pilot of the TRIAS also heard a two-blast signal from the BENFORS at that time. The SEATRAIN TEXAS had sounded an alarm, followed by smother one-blast signal, and ordered hard right rudder. The MENFORS was beard to sound an alarm followed by another two-blast signal and was observed to continue to swing to port. Captain wall sounded enother alarm and also another one-blast signal, but did not make any change in the vessel's speed, which was estimated at approximately thirteen knote, or full

17 May, 1950 GES-6/4 (SS SEATRAIN TRIAS - M EXEFORS (Swedish) o-5)

harbor speed. Then the vessels were alone about each other and collision imminent, the raider of the FRAS was put hard left, apparently in an effort to lift the vessel's stern clear of the bow of the EKNYCRS. This mensurer, however, was not successful, and the vessels collided in the memor previously described. The engines of the SHATRALK THIAS were stopped immediately after the collision.

- (Y) Both vessels sustained major damages, but after being free and clear of each other, they were able to preced to their respective docks without assistance. One man was slightly injured on board the EXEPCES, but after examination and treatment at the Marine Respital he was returned to his vessel.
- (8) Damage to the NEWFORE is estimated at \$82,622.00; that to the TEXAS is set at \$77,874.00."
- 5. The Board made the following Colelusions:
 - "(1) It is evident that the cause of the collision was the lack of understanding and agreement as to passing in an original head and head situation in which both vessels had an equal burden. The pilet of the EEEFORS, Captain Oldeixon, must be considered primarily at fault in hamling his vessel to the westward after emerging from the upper end of Mabroce Channel and in attempting to pass outbound traffic to starboard in order to reach the Quarantine Anchorage. It would have been more predent and surely a great deal safer under the prevailing traffic and tide conditions to approach the Marrows on his own, or casterly side of the channel and round to, head to the tide, when all traffic was olsers.
 - (2) The pilot of the SEATRAIN TRIAS noted properly in sounding a cons-blast signal for a port passing immediately after sighting the EXEFORS, as at that time the situation was unquestionably end on or nearly so. Captain must, however, he sensured for carrying on and insisting on a port passing until the vessels were in the jame of collision and no effective preventive mansurer was possible. The fact that he repeated his one-blast signal twice and also sounded danger signals is evidence of his apprehension and failure to understand the other vessel's intention. Rather than continuing at full speed up until the vessels came together, Captain should have reduced speed and, if necessary, stopped when his passing signals went ignored. The SEATRAIN TRIAS was in a good position to check down without joopardising her maneuversbility, as she was steaming the tide.

17 May, 1950 COS-2/4 (SS SEATRAIN TRIAS - MY MENTONS (Swedish) e-5)

- (5) It is evident that both vessels were manusered contrary to the rules governing the situation, the pilot of the MANCOS, Captain Loca Oldnixon, being runies under Article 18, Rule 1, namely, Sailing to pass port to port; and Captain Molvoy, the Pilot of the IMAS, in failing to be governed by Article 27 (the Protential Rule). Both masters are also considered to some extent at fault. Whereas they have a right to be guided by their pilots while in pilot waters, their general responsibility is never relinquished. It is obvious that they know, or should have known, that the pilots, by their maneuvers, did not act in the best increat of the vessels and, therefore, should have acted, or suggested action, in accordance with the practice of good seamanship, and in accordance with the Rules of the Road."
- 6. The Board made the following Recommendations:
 - "(1) In view of the Conclusions set forth above, it is recommended that Captain Leon Oldnizon, Pilot of the EEEFORS, and Captain Leon Oldnizon, Pilot of the EEEFORS, and Captain Leon Master of the vessel be cited for violation of Article 18, Inle 1, of the Inland Bules, and that Captain Leon Leon Pilot of the SEATRAIN TRIAS, as well as her Master, Captain Leon Leon De cited for violation of Article 27 of the Inland Rules. MY EEEFORS is ongaged on a regular run between New York and Central American Ports, and returns to New York about every third week.
 - (2) It is further recommended that a copy of this report and the record of proceedings of the Board, transmitted herewith, be provided to the American Pilots' Association, 425 Washington Building, Washington 25, D. C., for information."

HURB

7. Complusion 2 of the Board in effect states that the pilot and master of the SEATRAIN TRIAS are to be consured for failure to reduce speed and if necessary, stop when the EMETORS ignored port to port passing signals. The SE SANTA MORIGA, 8610 gross tons, was overtaking the SEATRAIN TRIAS and was some distance off her port quarter. At this point, the SANTA MORIGA eastward of the SEATRAIN TRIAS blow a one-blast signal to the EMETORS for a required normal port to port passing under Article 18 of the Inland Rules. The pilot and master of the SEATRAIN TRIAS had every reason to believe that the RESPORS would emoute a normal port to port passing with the SANTA MORIGA and that there was no risk or even apprehension of risk of collision between the EMETORS and the SANTA MORIGA and shortly thereafter, the SEATRAIN TRIAS gave a one-blast signal for a port to port passing as required by Article 18 of the Inland Rules. The EMETORS disregarded this signal and a collision resulted within two to three minutes thereafter. The failure of the EMETORS to comply with the requirements of Article thereafter. The failure of the EMETORS to comply with the requirements of Article

and the same

the SEATRAIN TRIAS and her institutes on a starbeard to sturbeard passing placed the SEATRAIN TRIAS in institutes on a starbeard to sturbeard passing placed the SEATRAIN TRIAS in institute jacquardy. The pilet and meeter of the SEATRAIN TRIAS were called upon to exercise discretion instantly which is not to be too closely criticised not is their conduct to be conduced unless very plainly neglectful or unskilful. A review of the evidence in the record does not indicate that the conduct of the pilet or master of the SEATRAIN TRIAS was neglectful or unskilful in the situation thrust upon them by the SEATRAIN TRIAS reduced speed following the 2 black signals from the NEWCRE, there is a strong probability that the NEWCRE would have been out down by the SEATRAIN TRIAS and probably sunks

- 8. Conclusion No. S states that the pilot of the SMATRAIN TRIAL failed to be governed by Article 27 of the Inland Rules and Recommendation No. 1 states that the pilot and the master of the SMATRAIN TRIAL should be cited for violation of said Article 27. Article 27 of the Inland Rules is known as the Prodontial Rule. This Rule in effect authorises a departure from the specific requirements of the Inland Rules when, due to any special circumstances, departure is necessary in order to avoid immediate danger. Since this hale authorises a departure or a relaxation from the positive requirements of the Inland Rules, it follows that failure to take timely avoiding action, as therein authorized or permitted, should not be cited as grounds for accessment of a civil monetary penalty which may be imposed under Section 158 of Title 35.
- 9. Recommendation 1 of the Board states that the pilot and master of the EMEFORS be cited for violation of Article 18 Rule 1 of the Inland Rules. The pilot of the EMEFORS was in charge of the mavigation of that vessel at the time the violation of Article 18 Rule 1 of the Inland Rules occurred. Accordingly, the civil possity for violation may only be assessed against the pilot of the vessel as between the pilot and master. As provided in 38 U. S. C. 159, the EMEFORS should also be assessed the civil penalty for violation of Article 18 Rule 1 of the Inland Rules in life of the master.
- 10. In view of the agreement between the U. S. Coast Guard and the American Pilots' Association to refer all acts of incompetency, unskilfulness, negligence and misconduct committed by pilots acting under the authority of their States pilots' licenses, for disciplinary action with respect to such licenses to the American Pilots' Association, the assessment of the statutory civil mosstary penalty recommended above against Leon Chimizon, pilot of the EMPONS at the time the collision occurred, will be held in abeyonce pending the action of the appropriate state authorities.
- 11. Subject to the foregoing remarks, it is recommended that the Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Recommendations of the Marine Board of Investigation be approved.

Ind-1

26 Sump 1950 GES-2/4 (36 SEATRAIN TEXAS - MF BEEFORS (Swedish) e-5)

From: Chief, Office of Murchant Marine Safety To: Commandant

Forwarded, recommending approval.

APPROVED: 28 June, 1960

Vice Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard Commandant