



COMDTPUB P16700.4
NVIC

NAVIGATION AND VESSEL INSPECTION CIRCULAR NO.

Subj: INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION (IMO) RESOLUTION A.849(20).
"CODE FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF MARINE CASUALTIES AND
INCIDENTS."

1. **PURPOSE.** This circular disseminates, explains, and endorses IMO resolution A.849(20), "Code for the Investigation of Marine Casualties and Accidents," which the IMO Assembly adopted on 27 November 1997.
2. **DIRECTIVES AFFECTED.** This Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circular (NVIC) supersedes and cancels NVIC 2-91.
3. **BACKGROUND.**
 - a. Many international conventions such as the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974 (SOLAS), the International Convention on Load Lines, 1966(ILLIC); and the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973 (MARPOL) require flag states to investigate marine casualties. The international community has increasingly become aware of the benefits of cooperating in casualty investigations given the international nature of shipping and the fact that flag-state interests often overlap port-state interests. As a result, a series of International Maritime Organization resolutions have addressed international cooperation in increasing depth, and many valuable cooperative investigations have resulted in the last ten years.

DISTRIBUTION – SDL No. 135

| | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k | l | m | n | o | p | q | r | s | t | u | v | w | x | y | z | |
|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | | 2 | 10 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | 132 | 1 | | 5 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 30 |
| C | | | | | * | | | | | | | 1 | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 1 | 1 | | 1* | | | | | | | 1 | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| G | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NON-STANDARD DISTRIBUTION: (See page 5)

- b. Drawing on the experience of these cooperative investigations, and recognizing the opportunity to improve safety through information sharing, the International Maritime Organization member states developed a Code for the investigation of marine casualties and incidents. The Code provides a standard international approach to investigations and enhances the existing cooperation frameworks.
- c. The IMO adopted resolution A.849(20) which contains the Code, and has revoked Resolutions A.173(ES.IV), A.440(IX), and A.637(16). The United States strongly supports Resolution A.849(20) and the Code. The Coast Guard will implement the code to the maximum extent possible under its existing legal and regulatory authorities.

4. **DISCUSSION.**

- a. IMO Resolution A.849(20) and the Code provide a mechanism for cooperation between member states during investigations along with common-approach investigative procedures. These common-approach procedures define the purposes of casualty investigations and provide a list of information to be gathered, methods for securing physical evidence, and procedures for authenticating documents, reviewing voyage data recorders, and obtaining information from other sources. These procedures can be found in the Appendix to Enclosure (1).
- b. The Code deals extensively with coordination and cooperation between member states during a casualty investigation. Briefly, the coordination and cooperation aspects of the Code include:
 - (1) Substantially interested states should be notified of a marine casualty and invited to be represented during the investigation. A substantially interested state is a state:
 - (a) which is the flag state of a ship involved in a marine casualty;
 - (b) in whose internal waters or territorial sea a marine casualty has occurred;
 - (c) whose environment (or those areas over which the state is entitled to exercise jurisdiction under international law) was threatened with or sustained serious harm caused by the casualty;
 - (d) whose artificial islands, installations, or structures (over which the state is entitled to exercise jurisdiction) are threatened with or sustain serious harm caused by the casualty;
 - (e) whose nationals have lost their lives or received serious injuries as a result of the casualty;
 - (f) which has at its disposal important information to the investigation; or

Enclosure (1) to NVIC

- (g) that has some other reason for interest considered significant by the lead investigating state.
- (2) Upon notification, member states may fulfill their obligations to conduct an investigation by participating fully in the investigation of another substantially interested state. When member states elect to participate in an investigation, they will select a lead investigating state. The lead investigating state devises the strategy of the investigation, governs the investigative proceedings, ensures the laws of the investigating state are observed during the investigation, is custodian of interview records and other evidence, prepares the investigative report including the views of substantially interested states, and provides reasonable logistical support.
- (3) In the event that substantially interested states elect to conduct their own independent investigations after receiving notification of a marine casualty, the various investigations should follow the Code and its procedures, should share evidence, and should be coordinated to avoid conflicting demands on witnesses and access to evidence.
- (4) Upon completion of an investigation (whether independent or joint), substantially interested states should share drafts of their investigative reports at the earliest opportunity. Final versions of the investigative reports should reflect the input received from the other substantially interested states. When substantially interested states cannot agree regarding all or part of the investigative report, they are free to submit their own reports to the International Maritime Organization. The minimum contents of investigative reports can be found in section 14 of Enclosure (1).

5. **IMPLEMENTATION.**

- a. The Coast Guard has notified the International Maritime Organization that the responsible authority within the United States to contact regarding cooperation in Marine Casualty investigations is the Office of Investigations and Analysis at Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington D.C.
- b. The Office of Investigation and Analysis will coordinate Coast Guard participation in all casualty investigations under the Code. Specifically, the Office will notify member states of casualties involving foreign vessels in U.S. waters, and of other casualties in which the United States is a substantially interested state. Further, the Office will consult with other substantially interested states to determine which state will act as lead investigative state, and will supply guidance and support regarding field unit involvement in such joint investigations.
- c. Officers in Charge, Marine Inspection and Captains of the Port already notify the Office of Investigations and Analysis of Significant and Major Marine Casualties in accordance with the Marine Safety Manual, Volume V. They should also notify the Office of other non-routine marine casualties that may require coordination of investigative efforts with other substantially interested states. Such notification should be substantially the same as those for Significant and Major Marine Casualties. The Coast Guard intends to aggressively

Enclosure (1) to NVIC

implement the Code and pursue coordination and cooperation in all appropriate investigations.

- d. Investigating Officers should be aware of the procedures in the Code, and should use those procedures to the maximum extent possible in all investigations in which the U.S. is a substantially interested state.
- e. Responsible investigative authorities of International Maritime Organization member states are encouraged to contact the Coast Guard whenever they feel that U.S. cooperation or participation in a casualty investigation would be beneficial.
- f. All vessel owners, operators, and crew members are encouraged to cooperate in casualty investigations to provide the feedback necessary to improve maritime transportation safety and to reduce marine pollution.

R. C. NORTH
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard
Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety
and Environmental Protection

Encl: (1) IMO Resolution A.849(20), "Code for the Investigation of Marine Casualties and Incidents."

Enclosure (1) to NVIC

Non-Standard Distribution:

C:e New Orleans (90); Hampton Roads (50); Houston-Galveston, San Francisco Bay, Puget Sound (40); Philadelphia, Port Arthur, Honolulu (35); Miami, Mobile, Long Beach, Morgan City, Portland OR (25); Jacksonville (20); Boston, Portland ME, Charleston, Anchorage (15); Cleveland (12); Louisville, Memphis, Paducah, Pittsburgh, St. Louis, Savannah, San Juan, Tampa, Buffalo, Chicago, Detroit, Duluth, Milwaukee, San Diego, Anchorage, Juneau, Valdez (10); Providence, Huntington, Wilmington, Corpus Christi, Toledo, Guam, Sault Ste. Marie (5).

C:m ACTEUR, FEACTION, National Maritime Center (2).

C:n New York (70); Baltimore (45).

D:d Except Moriches and Grand Haven.

CG Liaison Officer MILSEALIFTCOMD (Code N-7CG), CG Liaison Officer RSPA (DHM-22), CG Liaison Officer MARAD (MAR-742), CG Liaison Officers JUSMAGPHIL, CG Liaison Officer World Maritime University, CG Liaison Officer ABS, Maritime Liaison Officer Commander U.S. Naval Forces Central Command (1)

NOAA Fleet Inspection Officer (1)

U.S. Merchant Marine Academy (1)

A 20/Res.849
1 December 1997
Original: ENGLISH

ASSEMBLY
20th session
Agenda item 11

RESOLUTION A.849(20)
adopted on 27 November 1997

CODE FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF MARINE CASUALTIES AND INCIDENTS

THE ASSEMBLY,

RECALLING article 15(j) of the Convention on the International Maritime Organization concerning the functions of the Assembly in relation to regulations and guidelines concerning maritime safety and the prevention and control of marine pollution from ships,

NOTING with concern that, despite the best endeavours of the Organization, casualties and incidents resulting in loss of life, loss of ships and pollution of the marine environment continue to occur,

NOTING ALSO that the safety of seafarers and passengers and the protection of the marine environment can be enhanced by timely and accurate reports identifying the circumstances and causes of marine casualties and incidents,

NOTING FURTHER the rights and obligations of coastal and flag States under the provisions of articles 2 and 94 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS),

NOTING IN ADDITION the responsibilities of flag States under the provisions of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974 (regulation I/21), the International Convention on Load Lines, 1966 (article 23) and the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973 (article 12), to conduct casualty investigations and to supply the Organization with relevant findings,

CONSIDERING the need to ensure that flag States are required, under the aforementioned conventions, to investigate all cases of serious and very serious casualties,

ACKNOWLEDGING that the investigation and proper analysis of marine casualties and incidents can lead to greater awareness of casualty causation and result in remedial measures, including better training, for the purpose of enhancing safety of life at sea and protection of the marine environment,

RECOGNIZING the need for a code to provide, as far as national laws allow, a standard approach to marine casualty and incident investigation with the sole purpose of

correctly identifying the causes and underlying causes of casualties and incidents,

RECOGNIZING ALSO the international nature of shipping and the need for co-operation between Governments having a substantial interest in a marine casualty or incident for the purpose of determining the circumstances and causes thereof,

HAVING CONSIDERED the recommendations made by the Maritime Safety Committee at its sixty-eighth session and by the Marine Environment Protection Committee at its fortieth session:

1. ADOPTS the Code for the Investigation of Marine Casualties and Incidents set out in the Annex to the present resolution;
2. INVITES all Governments concerned to take appropriate measures to give effect to the Code as soon as possible;
3. REQUESTS flag States to conduct an investigation into all very serious and serious marine casualties and to supply the Organization with all relevant findings;
4. REVOKES resolutions A.173(ES.IV), A.440 (XI) and A.637(16).

ANNEX

**CODE FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF MARINE CASUALTIES
AND INCIDENTS**

1 Introduction

1.1 This Code recognizes that under IMO conventions each flag State has a duty to conduct an investigation into any casualty occurring to any of its ships when it judges that such an investigation may assist in determining what changes in the present regulations may be desirable or if such a casualty has produced a major deleterious effect upon the environment. The Code also takes into account that under the provisions of UNCLOS article 94, a flag State shall cause an inquiry to be held, by or before a suitably qualified person or persons into certain casualties or incidents of navigation on the high seas. However, the Code also recognises that where a casualty occurs within the territorial sea or internal waters of a State, that State has a right, under UNCLOS article 2, to investigate the cause of any such casualty which might pose a risk to life or to the environment, involve the coastal State's search and rescue authorities, or otherwise affect the coastal State.

1.2 The aim of this Code is to promote a common approach to the safety investigation of marine casualties and incidents, and also to promote co-operation between States in identifying the contributing factors leading to marine casualties. The result of this common approach and co-operation will be to aid remedial action and to enhance the safety of seafarers and passengers and the protection of the marine environment. In achieving these aims, this Code recognizes the need for mutual respect for national rules and practices and puts particular emphasis upon co-operation.

1.3 By introducing a common approach to marine casualty investigations and the reporting on such casualties, the international maritime community may be better informed about the factors which lead up to and cause, or contribute to, marine casualties. This may be facilitated by:

- .1 Clearly defining the purpose of marine casualty investigation and the guiding principles for its conduct.
- .2 Defining a framework for consultation and co-operation between substantially interested States.
- .3 Recognizing that the free flow of information will be promoted if individuals who are attempting to assist the investigation may be offered a degree of immunity, both from self-incrimination and from any ensuing risk to their livelihood.
- .4 Establishing a common format for reports to facilitate publication and sharing of the lessons to be learned.

1.4 It is not the purpose of the Code to preclude any other form of investigation, whether for civil, criminal, administrative, or any other form of action, but to create a marine casualty investigation process the aim of which is to establish the circumstances

relevant to the casualty, to establish the causal factors, to publicise the causes of the casualty and to make appropriate safety recommendations. Ideally, marine casualty investigation should be separate from, and independent of, any other form of investigation.

2 Objective

The objective of any marine casualty investigation is to prevent similar casualties in the future. Investigations identify the circumstances of the casualty under investigation and establish the causes and contributing factors, by gathering and analysing information and drawing conclusions. Ideally, it is not the purpose of such investigations to determine liability, or apportion blame. However, the investigating authority should not refrain from fully reporting the causes because fault or liability may be inferred from the findings.

3 Application

This Code applies, as far as national laws allow, to the investigation of marine casualties or incidents where either one or more interested States have a substantial interest in a marine casualty involving a ship under their jurisdiction.

4 Definitions

For the purpose of this Code:

4.1 *Marine casualty* means an event that has resulted in any of the following:

- .1 the death of, or serious injury to, a person that is caused by, or in connection with, the operations of a ship; or
- .2 the loss of a person from a ship that is caused by, or in connection with, the operations of a ship; or
- .3 the loss, presumed loss or abandonment of a ship; or
- .4 material damage to a ship; or
- .5 the stranding or disabling of a ship, or the involvement of a ship in a collision; or
- .6 material damage being caused by, or in connection with, the operation of a ship; or
- .7 damage to the environment brought about by the damage of a ship or ships being caused by, or in connection with, the operations of a ship or ships.

4.2 *Very serious casualty* means a casualty to a ship which involves the total loss of the ship, loss of life or severe pollution.

4.3 *Serious casualty* means a casualty which does not qualify as a very serious casualty and which involves:

- .1 a fire, explosion, grounding, contact, heavy weather damage, ice damage, hull cracking or

suspected hull defect, etc., resulting in;

- .2 structural damage rendering the ship unseaworthy, such as penetration of the hull underwater, immobilization of main engines, extensive accommodation damage etc.; or
- .3 pollution (regardless of quantity); and/or
- .4 a breakdown necessitating towage or shore assistance.

4.4 *Marine incident* means an occurrence or event being caused by, or in connection with, the operations of a ship by which the ship or any person is imperilled, or as a result of which serious damage to the ship or structure or the environment might be caused.

4.5 *Causes* means actions, omissions, events, existing or pre-existing conditions or a combination thereof, which led to the casualty or incident.

4.6 *Marine casualty or incident safety investigation* means a process held either in public or in camera conducted for the purpose of casualty prevention which includes the gathering and analysis of information, the drawing of conclusions, including the identification of the circumstances and the determination of causes and contributing factors and, when appropriate, the making of safety recommendations.

4.7 *Marine casualty investigator* means a person or persons qualified and appointed to investigate a casualty, or incident, under procedures laid down in national legislation for the furtherance of marine safety and protection of the marine environment.

4.8 *Serious injury* means an injury which is sustained by a person in a casualty resulting in incapacitation for more than 72 hours commencing within seven days from the date of injury.

4.9 *Ship* means any kind of vessel which is used in navigation by water.

4.10 *Lead investigating State* means the State that takes responsibility for the conduct of the investigation as mutually agreed between the substantially interested States.

4.11 *Substantially interested State* means a State:

- .1 which is the flag State of a ship that is the subject of an investigation; or
- .2 in whose internal waters or territorial sea a marine casualty has occurred; or
- .3 where a marine casualty caused, or threatened, serious harm to the environment of that State, or within those areas over which the State is entitled to exercise jurisdiction as recognised under international law; or
- .4 where the consequences of a marine casualty caused, or threatened, serious harm to that State or to artificial islands, installations, or structures over which it is entitled to exercise jurisdiction; or

- .5 where, as a result of a casualty, nationals of that State lost their lives or received serious injuries; or
- .6 that has at its disposal important information that may be of use to the investigation; or
- .7 that for some other reason establishes an interest that is considered significant by the lead investigating State.

5 Conduct of marine casualty investigations

5.1 Where an investigation is to be conducted, the following should be taken into consideration:

- .1 Thorough and unbiased marine casualty investigations are the most effective way of establishing the circumstances and causes of a casualty.
- .2 Only through co-operation between States with a substantial interest can a full analysis be made of a marine casualty.
- .3 Marine casualty investigations should be given the same priority as criminal or other investigations held to determine responsibility or blame.
- .4 Marine casualty investigators should have ready access to relevant safety information including survey records held by the flag State, the owners, and classification societies. Access to information should not be barred by reason of competing investigations.
- .5 Effective use should be made of all recorded data, including voyage data recorders (VDR), if fitted, in the investigation of a marine casualty or marine incident wherever it occurred. The State conducting the investigation should arrange for the read-out of the VDR.
- .6 Marine casualty investigators should be afforded access to Government surveyors, coastguard officers, vessel traffic service operators, pilots or other marine personnel of the respective States.
- .7 The investigation should take into account any recommendations or instruments published by IMO or ILO, in particular those relating to the human factor, and any other recommendations or instruments adopted by other relevant international organizations.
- .8 Reports of investigations are most effective when released to the shipping industry and public.

5.2 In accordance with 9, other substantially interested States should be invited to be represented during any such investigation and should be admitted as a party in the proceedings and have equal standing, rights and access to evidence as the State conducting the investigation.

5.3 Recognizing that any vessel involved in a casualty may continue in service and that a ship should not be delayed more than is absolutely necessary, the State conducting the investigation should start the investigation as soon as practicable, without delaying the ship

unreasonably. Other substantially interested States may, by mutual agreement, join the investigation either immediately or at a later stage.

6 Responsibility for investigating casualties and incidents

6.1 Flag States are encouraged to ensure that investigations are carried out into all casualties occurring to its ships. All cases of serious and very serious casualties should be investigated.

6.2 Where a marine casualty or incident occurs within the territorial sea of a State, the flag and coastal States recognizing the obligations of that State to its citizens and the legal status of the territorial sea under the provisions of UNCLOS and also recognising the duties placed on a flag State, the flag and coastal States should co-operate to the maximum extent possible, and mutually agree which State should take the role of lead investigating State.

6.3 Where a marine casualty or incident occurs on the high seas, a flag State should carry out an investigation into a casualty to, or on, any of its ships. If that casualty is a collision involving a ship of another flag State, then the States should consult with each other and agree which will be the lead investigating State and determine the best means of co-operation under this Code. In line with 9.1, if another State is a substantially interested State by virtue of the nationality of the ship's crew, passengers or other persons, or the location of the casualty, that State or States should be invited to take part in the investigation.

6.4 By fully participating in an investigation conducted by another substantially interested State, the flag State shall be considered as fulfilling its obligations under UNCLOS article 94, section 7.

6.5 An investigation should be started as soon as practicable after the casualty occurs. Substantially interested States should, by mutual agreement, be allowed to join an investigation conducted by another substantially interested State at any stage of the investigation.

7 Responsibilities of the lead investigating State

The lead investigating State should be responsible for:

- .1 developing a common strategy for investigating the casualty in liaison with substantially interested States;
- .2 providing the investigator in charge and co-ordinating the investigation;
- .3 establishing the investigation parameters based on the laws of the investigating State and ensuring that the investigation respects those laws;
- .4 being the custodian of records of interviews and other evidence gathered by the investigation;
- .5 preparing the report of the investigation, and obtaining and reflecting the views of the

- substantially interested States;
- .6 co-ordinating, when applicable, with other agencies conducting other investigations;
- .7 providing reasonable logistical support; and for
- .8 liaison with agencies, organizations and individuals not part of the investigating team.

8 Consultation

8.1 Notwithstanding the obligation placed on the master or owners of a ship to inform its flag State authority of any casualty occurring to the ship, where a casualty or incident occurs in the internal waters or territorial sea of another State, the coastal State should notify, with a minimum of delay, the flag State or States of the circumstances and what, if any, action is proposed by the coastal State.

8.2 Following a casualty, the investigating State should inform the other substantially interested States, either through the Consular Office in that State or by contacting the relevant authorities listed in MSC/Circ.781/ MEPC.6/Circ.2. That State and the other substantially interested States should consult, at the earliest opportunity, on the conduct of the investigation and to determine details of co-operation.

8.3 Nothing should prejudice the right of any State to conduct its own separate investigation into a marine casualty occurring within its jurisdiction according to its own legislation. Ideally, if more than one State desires to conduct an investigation of its own, the procedures recommended by this Code should be followed, and those States should co-ordinate the timing of such investigations to avoid conflicting demands upon witnesses and access to evidence.

9 Co-operation

9.1 Where two or more States have agreed to co-operate and have agreed the procedures for a marine casualty investigation, the State conducting the investigation should invite representatives of other substantially interested States to take part in the investigation and, consistent with the purpose of this Code, allow such representatives to:

- .1 question witnesses;
- .2 view and examine evidence and take copies of documentation;
- .3 produce witnesses or other evidence;
- .4 make submissions in respect of the evidence, comment on and have their views properly reflected in the final report; and
- .5 be provided with transcripts, statements and the final report relating to the investigation.

9.2 States are encouraged to provide for maximum participation in the investigation by

all States with a substantial interest in the marine casualty.

9.3 The flag State of a ship involved in a marine casualty should help to facilitate the availability of the crew to the investigation and encourage the crew to co-operate with the State conducting the investigation.

10 Disclosure of records

10.1 The State conducting the investigation of a casualty or incident, wherever it has occurred, should not make the following records, obtained during the conduct of the investigation, available for purposes other than casualty investigation, unless the appropriate authority for the administration of justice in that State determines that their disclosure outweighs any possible adverse domestic and international impact on that or any future investigation, and the State providing the information authorizes its release:

- .1 all statements taken from persons by the investigating authorities in the course of the investigation;
- .2 all communications between persons having been involved in the operation of the ship;
- .3 medical or private information regarding persons involved in the casualty or incident;
- .4 opinions expressed during the conduct of the investigation.

10.2 These records should be included in the final report, or its appendices, *only* when pertinent to the analysis of the casualty or incident. Parts of the record not pertinent, and not included in the final report, should not be disclosed.

11 Personnel and material resources

Governments should take all necessary steps to ensure that they have available sufficient means and suitably qualified personnel and material resources to enable them to undertake casualty investigations.

12 Issue of marine casualty reports and submission to IMO

12.1 The lead investigating State should send a copy of the draft of the final report to all substantially interested States, inviting their significant and substantiated comments on the report as soon as possible. If the lead investigating State receives comments within thirty days, or within some mutually agreed period, it should either amend the draft final report to include the substance of the comments, or append the comments to the final report. If the lead investigating State receives no comments after the mutually agreed period has expired, it should send the final report to the Organization in accordance with applicable requirements and cause the report to be published.

12.2 By fully participating in an investigation conducted by another substantially interested State that will be reporting to IMO, the flag State shall be considered as fulfilling its obligations under IMO conventions.

12.3 Reports, or relevant parts of reports, into the circumstances and causes of a marine casualty should be completed as quickly as practicable, and be made available to the public and the shipping industry in order to enhance safety of life at sea and protection of the marine environment through improved awareness of the factors which combine to cause marine casualties.

12.4 Where a substantially interested State disagrees with whole or part of the report referred to in 12.1 above, it may submit its own report to the Organization.

12.5 The investigating State, upon determining that urgent safety action is needed, may initiate interim recommendations to the appropriate authority.

13 Re-opening of investigations

When new evidence relating to any casualty is presented, it should be fully assessed and referred to other substantially interested States for appropriate input. In the case of new evidence which may materially alter the determination of the circumstances under which the marine casualty occurred, and may materially alter the findings in relation to its cause or any consequential recommendations, States should reconsider their findings.

14 Contents of reports

14.1 To facilitate the flow of information from casualty investigations, each report should conform to the basic format outlined in 14.2 below.

14.2 Reports should include, wherever possible:

- .1 a summary outlining the basic facts of the casualty and stating whether any deaths, injuries or pollution occurred as a result;
- .2 the identity of the flag State, owners, managers, company and classification society;
- .3 details of the dimensions and engines of any ship involved, together with a description of the crew, work routine and other relevant matters, such as time served on the ship;
- .4 a narrative detailing the circumstances of the casualty;
- .5 analysis and comment which should enable the report to reach logical conclusions, or findings, establishing all the factors that contributed to the casualty;
- .6 a section, or sections, analysing and commenting on the causal elements, including both mechanical and human factors, meeting the requirements of the IMO casualty data base; and
- .7 where appropriate, recommendations with a view to preventing similar casualties.

15 Contact between Administrations

To facilitate implementation of this Code, States should inform the Organization of the responsible authorities within their Governments that may be contacted regarding

cooperation in casualty investigations.

Appendix

Guidelines to assist investigators in the implementation of the Code

Introduction

The contents of this section should be treated as guidelines to assist investigators co-operating in an investigation. Investigators should bear in mind the information required under the IMO marine casualties and incidents reporting system.

In following this Code, participating investigators must be guided by the requirements of the legal system of the State in which the investigation is being conducted. In particular, co-operating investigators must be guided by the requirements of national law over issues such as:

- providing formal notification of an investigation to interested parties;
- boarding ships and securing documents;
- arranging interviews with witnesses;
- the presence of legal advisers or other third parties during an interview.

1. Information generally required in all cases

1.1 Particulars of the ship

Name, IMO number, nationality, port of registry, call sign
Name and address of owners and operators, if applicable, also, if an overseas ship, of agents
Type of ship
Name and address of charterer, and type of charter
Deadweight, net and gross tonnages, and principal dimensions
Means of propulsion; particulars of engines
When, where and by whom built
Any relevant structural peculiarities
Amount of fuel carried, and position of fuel tanks
Radio (type, make)
Radar (number, type, make)
Gyro compass (make, model)
Automatic pilot (make, model)
Electronic positioning equipment (make, model) (GPS, Decca, etc.)
Life saving equipment (dates of survey/expiry)

1.2 Documents to be produced

(Note: Any documents that may have relevance to the investigation should be produced. Where possible original documents should be retained, otherwise authenticated and dated photocopies should be taken in accordance with 9.1.2 of the Code. A number of these

documents will contain details sought under 1.1 of these Guidelines.)

Ship's register
Current statutory certificates
ISM Code certification
Classification society or survey authority certificates
Official log book
Crew list
Crew qualifications (see also 1.4 of these Guidelines)
Deck log book
Port log, log abstract and cargo log book
Engine movement book
Engine-room log book
Data logger print-out
Course recorder chart
Echo sounder chart
Oil record book
Soundings book
Night order book
Master's/Chief Engineer's Standing Orders
Company Standing Orders/Operations Manual
Company Safety Manual
Compass error book or records
Radar log book
Planned maintenance schedules
Repair requisition records
Articles of Agreement
Bar records - daily purchases - voyage receipts, etc.
Records of drug and alcohol tests
Passenger list
Radio log
Ship Reporting records
Voyage Plan
Charts and record of chart corrections
Equipment/machinery manufacturer's operational/maintenance manuals
Any other documentation relevant to the inquiry

1.3 Particulars of voyage

Port at which voyage commenced and port at which it was to have ended, with dates

Details of cargo

Last port and date of departure

Draughts (forward, aft and midships) and any list

Port bound for at time of occurrence

Any incident during the voyage that may have a material bearing on the incident, or unusual occurrence, whether or not it appears to be relevant to the incident

Plan view of ship's layout including cargo spaces, slop tanks, bunker/fuel lube oil tanks (diagrams from IOPP Certificate)

Details of cargo, bunkers, fresh water and ballast and consumption

1.4 **Particulars of personnel involved in incident**

Full name
Age
Details of injury
Description of accident
Person supervising activity
First aid or other action on board
Capacity on board
Certificate of Competency/Licence:
grade;
date of issue;
issuing country/authority;
other Certificates of Competency held
Time spent on vessel concerned
Experience on similar vessels
Experience on other types of vessels
Experience in current capacity
Experience in other ranks
Number of hours spent on duty on that day and the previous days
Number of hours sleep in the 96 hours prior to the incident
Any other factors, on board or personal, that may have affected sleep
Whether smoker, and if so, quantity
Normal alcohol habit
Alcohol consumption immediately prior to incident or in the previous 24 hours
Whether under prescribed medication
Any ingested non-prescribed drugs
Records of drug and alcohol tests

1.5 **Particulars of sea state, weather and tide**

Direction and force of wind
Direction and state of sea and swell
Atmospheric conditions and visibility
State and height of tide
Direction and strength of tidal and other currents, bearing in mind local conditions

1.6 **Particulars of the incident**

Type of incident
Date, time and place of incident
Details of incident and of the events leading up to it and following it
Details of the performance of relevant equipment with special regard to any malfunction
Persons on bridge
Persons in engine-room
Whereabouts of the master and chief engineer
Mode of steering (auto or manual)

Extracts from all relevant ship and, if applicable, shore documents including details of entries in official, bridge, scrap/rough and engine-room log books, data log printout, computer printouts, course and engine speed recorder, radar log, etc.

Details of communications made between vessel and radio stations, SAR centres and control centres, etc., with transcript of tape recordings where available

Details of any injuries/fatalities

Voyage data recorder information (if fitted) for analysis

1.7 Assistance after the incident

If assistance was summoned, what form and by what means

If assistance was offered or given, by whom and of what nature, and whether it was effective and competent

If assistance was offered and refused, the reason for refusal

1.8 Authentication of documents

The master should be asked to authenticate all documents and to sign all copies taken of documents as being true copies, also to authenticate relevant dates and times

1.9 Engine-room orders

In all cases where a collision or a stranding is the subject of an investigation, and the movements of the engine are involved, the master or officer on watch and other persons in a position to speak with knowledge are to be asked whether the orders to the engine-room were promptly carried out. If there is any doubt on the matter, the investigator shall refer to it in his report.

1.10 External sources of information

Investigators should consider independent corroborating information from external sources such as radar or voice recordings from vessel traffic systems, shore radar and radio surveillance systems, marine rescue co-ordination centres, coroners and medical records.

2. Additional information required in specific cases

2.1 Fire/Explosion

(Investigators should bear in mind the IMO Fire Casualty Record.)

How was the ship alerted to the fire?

How was the individual alerted to the fire?

Where did it start?

How did it start (if known)?

What was the immediate action taken?

Condition of fire-fighting equipment, supported by dates of survey/examination

Extinguishers available:

Type available in the vicinity;

Types available on the ship;
Types used
Hoses available/used
Pumps available/used
Was water immediately available?
Were air vents closed off to the space?
What was the nature of the material on fire and surrounding the fire?
Fire retardant specification of bulkheads surrounding the fire
Restrictions caused by (a) smoke, (b) heat, (c) fumes
Freedom of access
Access availability for fire fighting equipment
Preparedness of crew - Frequency, duration, content and locations of fire musters and drills
Response by land-based fire-fighting brigades

2.2 Collision

(Investigators should bear in mind the IMO Damage cards and intact stability reporting format.)

General

Local or other special rules for navigation
Obstructions, if any, to manoeuvring, e.g. by a third vessel, shallow or narrow waters, beacon, buoy, etc.
Circumstances affecting visibility and audibility, e.g. state of the sun, dazzle of shore lights, strength of wind, ship-board noise and whether any door or window could obstruct look-out and/or audibility
Geographical plot
Possibilities of interaction
Name, IMO number, nationality and other details of other vessel

For each ship:

Time, position, course and speed (and method by which established), when presence of other ship first became known
Details of all subsequent alterations of course and speed up to collision by own ship
Bearing, distance and heading of other ship, if sighted visually, time of sighting, and subsequent alterations
Bearing and distance of other ship, if observed by radar, timing of observations and subsequent alterations of bearing
If other ship was plotted and by what method (auto-plot, reflection plotter, etc.), and copy of plot, if available
 Check performance of equipment
 Course recorder
 Lights/day signals carried and operated in ship, and those seen in other ship
Sound signals, including fog signals, made by ship and when, and those heard from other ship and when
If a listening watch was kept on VHF radio channel 16, or other frequency, and any messages sent, received or overheard

Number of radars carried on ship, number operational at time of casualty, together with ranges used on each radar

Whether steering by hand or automatic

Check that steering was operating correctly

Details of look-out

The parts of each ship which first came into contact and the angle between ships at that time

Nature and extent of damage

Compliance with statutory requirement to give name and nationality to other ship and to stand by after collision

2.3 Grounding

Details of voyage plan, or evidence of voyage planning

Last accurate position and how obtained

Subsequent opportunities for fixing position or position lines, by celestial or terrestrial observations, GPS, radio, radar or otherwise, or by lines of soundings and, if not taken, why not

Chart datum comparison to WGS datum

Subsequent weather and tidal or other currents experienced

Effect on compass of any magnetic cargo, electrical disturbance or local attraction

Radar/s in use, respective ranges used, and evidence of radar performance monitoring and logging

Charts, sailing directions and relevant notices to mariners held, if corrected to date, and if any warnings they contain had been observed

Depth sounding taken, when and by what means

Tank soundings taken, when and by what means

Draught of ship before grounding and how determined

Position of grounding and how determined

Cause and nature of any engine or steering failure before the grounding

Readiness of anchors, their use and effectiveness

Nature and extent of damage

Action taken, and movements of ship, after grounding

(Note: information as in cases of foundering may also be required)

2.4 Foundering

(Investigators should bear in mind the IMO damage cards and intact stability reporting format.)

Draught and freeboard on leaving last port and changes consequent upon consumption of stores and fuel

Freeboard appropriate to zone and date

Loading procedures, hull stresses

Particulars of any alterations to hull or equipment, since survey, and by whom such alterations sanctioned

Condition of ship, possible effects on seaworthiness

Stability data and when determined

Factors affecting stability, e.g. structural alterations, nature, weight, distribution and shift of any cargo and ballast, free surface in tanks or of loose water in ship

Subdivision by watertight bulkheads
Position of, and watertight integrity of, hatches, scuttles, ports and other openings
Number and capacity of pumps and their effectiveness; the position of suctions
Cause and nature of water first entering ship
Other circumstances leading up to foundering
Measures taken to prevent foundering
Position where ship foundered and how established
Life-saving appliances provided and used, and any difficulties experienced in their use

2.5 Pollution resulting from an incident

(Investigators should bear in mind IMO reporting of incidental spillages of liquids, 50 tonnes or more, and reporting of information from investigation of incidents involving dangerous goods or marine pollutants in packaged form.)

Type of pollutant.
UN number/IMO hazard class (if applicable).
Type of packaging (if applicable).
Quantity on board.
Quantity lost.
Method of stowage and securing.
Where stowed and quantities in each compartment/container.
Tanks/spaces breached.
Tanks/spaces liable to be breached.
Action taken to prevent further loss.
Action taken to mitigate pollution.
Dispersant/neutraliser used, if any.
Restricting boom used, if any.

3. Securing of physical evidence

3.1 Occasions may arise where physical evidence may be available and which will require scientific examination. Some examples are oil, paint/scale, pieces of equipment and machinery, pieces of structure.

3.2 Before removal, such evidence should first be photographed *in situ*. The sample should then be photographed on a clear background before being placed in an appropriate clean container(s), glass bottle, plastic bag, tin container, etc. The container should be sealed and clearly labelled, showing contents, name of vessel, location from which the evidence was taken, the date and the name of the investigator. For items of equipment and machinery, copies of the relevant certificates should be obtained.

3.3 Where paint samples are being taken for identification purposes in collision cases, a sample of paint from the ship's paint drum should also be obtained if possible.

3.4 Advice should be sought on the correct container to use. For example, plastic bags are suitable for paint samples, but are not suitable in investigations of fires where materials may need to be tested for accelerant, in which case sealable tin cans are preferred.

4. Voyage data recorders

Where information from a voyage data recorder (VDR) is available, in the event that the State conducting the investigation into a casualty or serious incident does not have appropriate facilities for readout of the VDR, it should seek and use the facilities of another State, giving consideration to the following:

- .1 the capabilities of the readout facility;
- .2 the timeliness of the availability of the facility; and
- .3 the location of the readout facility.

5. Other sources of information

Investigators should bear in mind that other Government agencies, such as customs, quarantine and State Authorities, may have useful information relating to crew lists, the general condition of the ship, stores lists (including alcohol on board), ship certificates, etc. Port authorities and independent surveyors may also hold information of use to an investigation.

NAVIGATION AND VESSEL INSPECTION CIRCULAR