Proceedings

of the Marine Safety Council

Vol. 37, No. 8 December 1980



U.S. Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard

CG-129

Proceedings

of the Marine Safety Council

Published monthly by the Commandant, USCG, in the Intercast of selecy at see under the auspices of the Marke Salety Council. Special permission for republication, either in whole or in part, with the exception of copyrighted articles or artwork, is not required provided credit is given to the <u>Proceedings of</u> the <u>Marine Salety Council</u>. All inquiries and requests for subscriptions should be addressed to Commandant (C-CMC/14), U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, DC 20593. Please include mailing label when sending in a change of address. The Office of the Secretary of Transportation has determined that the publication of this periodical is necessary in the transaction of the public business required by law of this agency. Use of funds for priming this publication has been approved by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget through May 31, 1984.

> Admiral J. B. Hayes, USCG Commendani

The Marine Safety Council of the United States Coast Guard:

Rear Admiral Clifford F. DeWolf, USCC Chief Counsel, Chairman

Rear Admiral Wayne E. Caldwell, USCG Chief, Office of Marine Environment and Systems, Member

Rear Admiral H. W. Parker, USCG Chief, Office of Hoating, Public. & Consumer Affairs, Member

Rear Admiral H. H. Bell, USCG Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety, Member

Rear Admiral John D. Costello, USCG Chief, Office of Operations, Member

Rear Admiral Donald C. Thompson, USCG Chief, Office of Engineering, Member

Rear Admiral Richard A. Bauman, USCG Chief, Office of Navigation, Member

Commander A. D. Utara Executive Secretary

Julie Strickler Editor

DIST. (SOL No. 112) A: acde(2); fghkimntuv(1) H: n(50); e(16); e(5); f(4); Please pass this gj(3); r(2); bklq(1) magnaline magazine along when you have finished reading it. eg1mp(1) C: adgklm(1) D: E: tnn(1) nbcdehjklogst(1) F: List TCG-08

features

Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circulars	174
"Safe for workers" means "Safe for marine inspectors	162
Statistics of Casualties 1979	166

Contents

departments

Maritime Sidelights		•		155
Keynotes	•			158
Marine Safety Council Membership.	•			173
Chemical of the Month	•			176
Nautical Queries.				178
Merchant Marine Safety Publications	•		•	179

cover

After a marine chemist has certified that it is safe for him to enter, a marine inspector checks the integrity of a tank. The marine inspector depends on the marine chemist and his expertise to ensure his own safety. To find out more about the relationship between these two men, see the article beginning on page 162.



Season's Greetings

As another year draws to a close, our hearts go out to the many friends and relatives of the Merchant Mariners who were aboard the M/B BOED. May this sohering reminder that the sea still claims many lives serve to renew our dedication to the goal of safe voyages for all. May we also share the joy of those whose loved ones will be able to spend this and many holiday at home with their seasons families. The Marine Safeto Council wishes you all health, hope, peace, and happiness during this holiday season.

CLIFFORD P. DEWOLF Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Chairman, Marine Safety Council

Maritime Sidelights

MarAd Opens New Fire Training Center in New Orleans

The Maritime Administration completed the third phase in its

plan to offer regional firefighting courses to seafarers throughout the country on November 19, 1980, with the dedication of its new Merehan't Seaman Fire Training Center at 13200 Old Gentilly Road in New Orleans.

MarAd, an agency of the Department of Commerce, in cooperation with the Navy's Military Sea-

155

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

lift Command, has been sponsoring marine firefighting facilities for several years. A facility was opened in Earle, New Jersey, in 1975, and MarAd signed a formal agreement in 1979 to send 1200 people a year to the facility at Treasure Island (San Francisco), California. A fourth facility, to serve the Great Lakes maritime community, is scheduled to open in Toledo, Ohio, next spring.

The New Orleans training center provides practical or "handson" instruction to all persons engaged in waterborne commerce, enabling them to meet proposed training requirements for certification or endorsement as U.S. merchant seamen. Classroom courses are prerequisites to the hands-on training. This instruction is provided at designated educational institutions in MarAd's Central Region, which embraces the Gulf Coast area and the Mississippi River basin.

Separate courses are offered in fighting fires aboard barges and merchant ships. The barge course requires 8 hours of classroom instruction and 8 hours of practical training at the New Orleans center, while the ship course requires 16 hours of instruction in each of the categories.

Bruce A. McAllister, deputy assistant secretary of commerce for maritime affairs, hailed the dedication as "significant" for the U.S. maritime industry "in its historic effort to safeguard the lives of seafarers and reduce the great loss in property due to fires as sea."

McAllister said fire losses have been increasing in merchant shipping throughout the world; shipboard fires in U.S.-flag vessels alone currently cause average property damage of \$75 million a In 1975, a MarAd study vear. showed, 20 seafarers lost their lives in fires aboard U.S.-flag vessels. If nothing is done to stem the rise in casualties, McAllister said, indications are that dollar losses will rise to \$100 million and deaths will nearly double (to about 40) annually in the U.S. commercial fleet by the year 2000.

American Petroleum Institute Releases New Manual

The American Petroleum Insti-

tute has just released the "Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter II.1--Volume Correction Factors." The manual, a collection of volume correction factor tables and related tables, is used worldwide in custody transfer and accounting operations to adjust bulk volumes of crude oil and products measured at temperatures other than base temperature.

The new edition is available in four formats: plasticized paper, magnetic tape, card deck, and microfiche. The 10 printed volumes may be purchased individually for \$45.00 each or as a full set for \$450.00. The magnetic tape, card deck, and microfiche are available only as full sets, with Volumes I-IX in the preferred mode and Volume X in paper, for \$450.00 per set.

Postage will be billed on each order. Orders may be placed with the American Petroleum Institute, Publications and Distribution Section, 2101 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20037. Questions about the tables and their use should be directed to Measurement Coordination, API, at (202) 457-7055.

(Reprinted from the American Waterways Operators, Inc. "Weekly Letter" af September 27, 1980, Volume XXXVII, No. 39)

New Law Revises Able Seaman Standards

On October 6, 1980, Congress passed a new law reducing the number of previously existing categories of Able Seaman within the Coast Guard's licensing and seaman's documentation structure from six to four. The new categories and their service requirements are as follows:

(1) Able Seaman--Any Waters, Unlimited. This is equivalent to the old endorsement and requires 3 years' service on deck on vessels operating on the oceans or the Great Lakes.

(2) Able Seaman--Limited. This incorporates Able Seaman--Great Lakes--18 months and requires 18 months' service on deck on vessels of 100 gross tons or over operating in a service not exclusively confined to the rivers and smaller inland lakes of the United States.

(3) Able Seaman--Special. This

incorporates Able Seaman--Any Waters--12 months, Able Seaman--Tugs and Towboats--Any Waters, Able Seaman--Bays and Sounds--12 months, vessels 500 gross tons or under not carrying passengers, and Able Seaman--Seagoing Barges--12 months and requires 12 months' service on deck on vessels operating on the oceans or the navigable waters of the United States, including the Great Lakes.

(4) Able Seaman--Special (OSV). This is a new category limited to service on offshore supply vessels and requires 6 months' service on deck on vessels operating on the oceans or the navigable waters of the United States, including the Great Lakes.

Individuals holding a merchant mariner's document with any of the old endorsements may, upon request, have the document endorsed to reflect the new standardized endorsements or may serve within the equivalent capacity noted above without further endorsement.

In addition to revising the categories, the statute's provisions reduce the minimum qualifying age for an Able Seaman's endorsement from 19 to 18.

Coast Guard May Get Fleet of Airships

The U.S. Coast Guard's familiar racing stripe may one day be seen on a fleet of modern blimps, if a research project proves that airships can be effective in patrol operations.

On April 30 the Coast Guard signed an agreement with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to develop technology that could lead to an operational lighter-than-air machine by late in this decade or early in the 1990s.

According to Commander Kenneth Williams, chief of the Coast Guard's conservation and technology branch in Washington, DC, the service is looking for a vehicle that can be used in search and rescue, law enforcement, and other patrol functions.

Williams envisions a machine that would be two to three times larger than the Goodyear blimp and could carry 8 to 11 people. It would have a cruising speed of 50 to 60 knots, a maximum speed of 100 knots, a 2-day patrol range of 2,500 miles, and an altitude range of between 5,000 and 6,000 feet.

The craft would have to be able to take off and land vertically and hover in a small circle for rescue operations.

The Coast Guard has awarded a contract for development of a sub-scale prototype to the Naval Air Development Center. If the prototype is successful in its test missions, development of a fullscale model will proceed.

Coast Guard Publishes Consumer Program

The Coast Guard's final Consumer Program was published in the Federal Register on December 1, 1980, and is now available from Coast Guard Headquarters. U.S. The program was prepared in response to Executive Order 12160 and is designed to increase consumer/citizen participation in the review and development of rules, policies, and programs. "Consumer" is defined as one who uses or purchases marine products or marine transportation services. The Executive Order requires the agency to appoint an identifiable and accessible consumer affairs officer who will represent the consumer perspective, develop and distribute information materials for consumers, provide consumer training for agency affairs personnel, and develop systematic procedures for consumer complaint handling.

For further information contact: Commander Neal Mahan, Office of Boating, Public, and Consumer Affairs (G-BA), Room 4224, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second St. SW, Washington, DC 20593; (202) 426-1080. Office hours are from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Thursday.

Department of Transportation Establishes Fees for Yacht Documentation

On October 20, 1980, a final rule went into effect requiring yacht owners to pay a fee for documentation of yachts used exclusively for pleasure.

The Department of Transporta-

tion Fiscal Year 1981 Appropriation Act contains a provision prohibiting any funds provided by the Act from being used for expenses related to yacht documentation, except to the extent fees are collected from yacht owners.

The rule establishes a fee of \$75.00 for documenting a yacht and \$15.00 for the renewal of a document or endorsement of a change of master on the document. These figures were derived from the cost of documenting yachts during 1979.

Establishment of the above fees enables the Coast Guard to continue its documentation service. Federal documentation is not mandatory (vacht owners may obtain a certificate of number from a state boating authority), but documentation renders a vessel eligible for financing under the Ship Mortgage Act, thus making the vessel secured under it an attractive investment for lenders, affords greater protection for a vessel in many foreign ports, and offers a vessel marking system preferred by yacht owners. A vessel's document can be maintained even if the location of principal use changes from state to state.

Annual Boating Safety Seminar Set for Tampa

Representatives from national boating organizations, the boating industry, and the U.S. and Canadian governments as well as others interested in boating will meet March 10-12, 1981, in Tampa, Florida, to exchange ideas on boating education and safety.

The occasion is the Sixth Annual Boating Education Seminar, co-sponsored by the National Safe Boating Council, Inc. and the U.S. Coast Guard. This year's theme is "Handling Emergencies."

Six workshops are scheduled on such topics as fast-water rescue, rough-weather sailing and navigation, risk management, and fire and explosion prevention. In addition, the program will include time and space for participants to display the latest developments in boating education and safety.

The seminar will be held at the Admiral Benbow Inn, 1300 Westshore Boulevard, Tampa, Florida; (813) 879-1750. Anyone interested in boating safety and education is invited and encouraged to attend. There will be a registration fee of \$35.00. Additional information may be obtained by contacting Lieutenant Commander William R. Ladd, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW, Washington, DC 20593; (202) 472-2373.

New Law Unifies Inland Navigational Rules

On December 24, 1980, the President signed into law a bill passed by Congress to unify the rules for preventing collisions on the inland waters of the United States.

The various sets of Rules of the Road (previously, seven sets of rules governed the navigation of vessels on the Inland Waters, Great Lakes, and Western Rivers of the United States) have not undergone comprehensive revision since 1895. Attempts to consolidate the rules date back to 1964. When it became evident in the late 1960s that a new set of international rules was in the offing, action on the inland rules was deferred.

Following the adoption of the Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972, the Coast Guard assigned the task of developing proposed revisions to its Rules of the Road Advisory Committee, a body representing a cross-section of maritime interests that used, or were familiar with, the United States' various inland waterway systems. The new rules, which are based on that committee's recommendations, were written to conform as closely as possible to the international regulations.

The new law will go into effect one year after enactment. More detailed information on the new rules will be published in a future issue of the Proceedings.



Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council



Since our readership increases every month, some of our new readers might not be familiar with the process of rulemaking or some of the terms used to describe regulations in their various stages of development. We would like, therefore, to preface this month's edition of the Keynotes with the following "layman's guide":

THE COAST GUARD PROCESS FOR PROMULGATING REGULATIONS

The process by which the Coast Guard promulgates regulations is slow and methodical. Each proposal is reviewed, opened for public comment, and discussed and reviewed again before becoming final.

There are three identifiable stages in this process: the conceptual stage, the proposal stage, and the final rule stage.

The impetus for the regulation can come from various sources: public awareness of a problem area, a Presidential directive, a statute calling for regulations (such as the Port and Tanker Safety Act of 1978) or, most sadly, a maritime casualty.

Once the need for corrective action is demonstrated, a project manager is selected at Coast Guard Headquarters. The project manager examines the problem and looks at alternative solutions. He decides whether a regulation is the proper solution or whether some other answer might be more appropriate. Most problems which come to the Coast Guard for consideration are handled in some other way than by regulation. If a regulation seems to be the best answer, however, the project manager develops a work plan.

The project manager sets forth the following points in his work plan: 1) the need for the regulation--i.e., what promoted the regulatory activity; 2) the objectives to be accomplished and the means of accomplishment, stated as explicitly as possible; 3) the alternatives considered and the various impacts the proposed alternatives will have on the economy, the environment, small businesses, the cities, local governments, consumers, the regulated parties, and the general public; 4) the major problems or issues expected to be eneountered in preparing the regulation; 5) the authority for the regulation; 6) how the public input will be accommodated; and 7) recommended priority and proposed timetables for preparation.

The work plan is given a preliminary and legal review to determine whether there appears to be statutory authority to carry out the proposal; it is then reviewed by the officials responsible for the program area concerned. When the program director of the regulation --a Coast Guard admiral--is satisfied that there is a need for the regulation and that the proposal is the best of the available alternatives, the work plan is submitted to the Marine Safety Council for consideration.

The Marine Safety Council consists of seven admirals and is chaired by the Chief Counsel. Included on the Council are the program directors responsible for the major regulatory areas--Merchant Marine Safety, Marine Environmental Protection, Boating, Public, and Consumer Affairs, and the other offices which have an interest in regulations development.

The Marine Safety Council reviews all the factors considered in the work plan. Each member brings to bear the expertise of his staff and the particular concern of his office. Only when the Council is convinced that a genuine need for the regulation exists and that the concept represents the best available alternative in terms of accomplishing the desired objeetives does it give its approval to proceed with the project.

If the proposal involves a significant regulation, the work plan must receive the Commandant's approval and eventually be reviewed by the Secretary of Transportation and his staff. A significant regulation is one which will have extensive economic impact, usually on the order of \$100 million or more, or otherwise be of substantial public interest.

Once a work plan is approved, the second stage of the regulatory process begins: the proparation of a proposal. A project team is assigned for this task, and a docket is opened. The project team usually consists of the project manager and a project counsel, although in more complex projects additional individuals also participate.

The first task of the project team is to draft proposed regulations which would apply to the area of concern. The proposal may take two forms: an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) or a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM). An advance notice of proposed rulemaking is usually a tentative suggestion of possible approaches which might be taken in regulating an area of concern. Even though detailed specific proposals may be made at this step, an advance notice of proposed rulemaking is published solely to generate more informed comment regarding a speeific issue. All comments received are carefully reviewed to determine whether there is sufficient cause and justification to proceed with further rulemaking. A notice of proposed rulemaking is published in licu of an advance notice when the Coast Guard has a good idea of how the final rule should be stated.

There are various administrative laws and regulations which set forth the regulatory procedure that must be followed in preparing regulatory proposals. Basically, these laws and regulations say that the public must normally be given the opportunity to comment before a regulation can be made final and effective. There are very few exceptions to this rule.

Once the regulatory proposal is completed by the project team, it is sent out for internal Coast Guard clearance. Interested parties are given an opportunity to review and revise the proposal before it is published. When all required clearances have been received, the appropriate Coast Guard officer signs the proposal and it is printed in the Federal Register for public comment. Because most people do not follow the daily Federal Register, the Coat Guard also publishes notice of regulatory actions in the Keynotes section of the <u>Proceedings</u>. By doing this, the Coast Guard is able to reach many more interested parties than it would if it adhered only to the requirement to publish in the Federal Register.

The commont period is a most important one. Parties view the proposed regulation for the first time and can tell the Coast Guard what they think. Responsive comment by the public, particularly by affected parties, is necessary if the final product is to be an effective, meaningful regulation. Comments are usually in the form of a written response to the proposal published in the Federal Register; however, public hearings may also be held to allow oral comments to be presented.

After comments have been received, they are analyzed in detail by the project team. After all applicable inputs have been reviewed and considered, the next stage is entered.

If the proposal was an advance notice of proposed rulemaking, a notice of proposed rulemaking is drafted. If the proposal was a notice of proposed rulemaking, a final rule is prepared. If a notice of proposed rulemaking receives sufficient negative comment, however, the Coast Guard may withdraw the proposal entirely or may amend the notice so extensively that another notice will be published and more public comment solicited. Most regulations are published first as notices of proposed rulemaking and then as final rules.

Once the final rule is prepared, it goes through the same screening process as the notice. It is more closely scrutinized during the review, however. All input in response to a notice of proposed rulemaking is seriously considered.

Once a final rule is signed by the Commandant or other appropriate official, the final regulation is published in the Federal Register. It should be noted that the project team drafts a preamble to accompany the regulation. In the preamble, the comments received after publication of a notice are discussed. It explains why some parts of the regulation were changed and why some were not. The thoughts and policy behind the regulation will be explained. It is a good idea to retain this part of the Federal Register, as this information will not be published in the Code of Federal Regulations.

Usually, the regulation will become effective thirty days after publication.

That is the regulatory process in a nutshell. The public should beeome familiar with the advance notice and notice stages and their respective comment periods. It cannot be emphasized too much: the greater tho involvement of industry and other interested members of the public, the better the regulation that will be produced.

The following were published between October 21, 1980, and December 8, 1980:

Final rules: CGD 79-148 Electronic Relative Motion Analyzer (correction), October 30, 1980. CGD 78-041b Puget Sound VTS (correction), November 10, 1980. CGD 76-170a Casualty Reporting Requirements and CGD 75-170 Casualty Reporting Requirements (interim rule), November 24, 1980.

Proposed rules: CGD 78-027 Manning of Vessels, October 27, 1980. CGD 78-121 Aluminum Hatch Covers, October 27, 1980. CGD 76-193a Radar Observer Endorsement, November 6, 1980. CGD 79-081b Manning Levels for Foreign Tank Vessels, November 17, 1980 (see pertinent section of the Keynotes). CGD 77-084 Licensing of Pilots, November 28, 1980, corrected December 8, 1980 (see pertinent section of the Keynotes).

Notices: CGD 80-130 Omega Radionavigation System Change, November 6, 1980, CGD 80-062 Coast Guard Consumer Program, November 28, 1980.

Any questions regarding regulatory dockets should be directed to Commander A. D. Utara (G-CMC), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second St. SW, Washington, DC 20593; (202) 426-1477.

* * *

Revision of Electrical Regulations CGD 74-125(A)

This regulation will constitute a general revision and updating of the electrical regulations to conform with the latest technology. It will include steering requirements for vessels other than tank vessels.

This revision is necessary beeause industrial standards for electrical engineering have changed in the past few years and the regulations must be brought up to date to reflect current industry practices.

An initial NPRM was published on June 27, 1977 (42 FR 32700). A supplemental NPRM was published as CGD 74-125A on March 3, 1980 (Part VII).

New Tank Barge Construction CGD 75-083 Upgrade of Existing Tank Barge Construction CGD 75-0836

This action comprises two regulatory projects centered on tank barge construction standards. These projects were the result of a Presidential initiative of March 17, 1977, directing a study of the tank barge pollution problem. One project will address new barge construction, while the other will pertain to existing barges. Joint public hearings were held, and regulatory documents for both will be published at the same time.

In July 1977 the Coast Guard began a reexamination of the tank barge construction standards. It was determined that new construction would be treated separately from existing barges. An ANPRM was then issued to gather additional data and assess impacts related to existing barges:

The new NPRM on tank barge construction, withdrawing the prior NPRM, and the ANPRM for existing tank barges were published as part VI of the Federal Register of June 14, 1979 (44 FR 34440 and 44 FR 34443, respectively).

Public hearings on the dockets were held as follows: August 2, 1979, Washington, DC; August 15, 1979, Seattle, Washington; August 23, 1979, New Orleans, Louisiana; September 5, 1979, Washington, DC; and September 7, 1979, St.

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

Louis, Missouri. The comments made at the hearings have been incorporated in the docket.

On Thursday, November 8, 1979, a Federal Register notice extended the comment period on the project. This extension was based on the continued public interest and ran to December 1, 1979.

A Supplementary Notice was published as Part III of the Federal Register of March 13, 1960 (44 FR 16438). This notice informs the public of a deferment in the rulemaking process for these dockets. The comments received have raised significant questions concerning these proposals. It was decided that the entire tank barge pollution problem warranted a earefully considered study by a recognized independent body. The National Academy of Sciences/ National Research Council will conduct the study. Part of the study, a two-day workshop, took place April 15 and 16, 1980. The study will be completed by the end of January 1981. The Coast Guard will defer any further rulemaking on these proposals until completion of the study, and the dates in the proposals of June 14, 1979, are no longer valid. If the Coast Guard should pursue further action on these proposals, a new timetable will have to be developed.

Anyone wishing to obtain copies of the rulemaking may do so by contacting Commander A. D. Utara, Marine Safety Council (address is given in the introduction to the Keynotes section).

> Pollution Prevention, Vessels and Oil Transfer Regulations CGD 75-124a

This regulation will reduce accidental or intentional discharge of oil or oily wastes during vessel operations.

The basis of this regulation is threefold. First, there is the need to reduce the number and ineidence of oil spills. Second, this regulation will help to clarify the existing rules. Finally, this regulation covers the additional requirement for oil-water separators under the 1973 International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships.

An NPRM was published on June

27, 1977 (42 FR 32670), and a supplemental NPRM was published on October 27, 1977 (42 FR 56625). Because of substantive changes in the regulation, there is currently no scheduled publication date for the final rule.

Segregated Ballast and Tank Cleaning Regulations CGD 77-058(b)

This regulation was initiated when President Carter directed the Secretary of Transportation to issue new rules for oil tanker standards which would include segregated ballast on all tankers and double bottoms on all new tankers which eall at American ports. The provisions of these proposed regulations were changed by the February 1978 Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) Conference to include Crude Oil Washing (COW) and Clean Ballast Tanks (CBT).

The NPRM was published on May 16, 1977 (42 FR 24868). As a result of the IMCO Tanker and Pollution Prevention Conference of February 1978, a new NPRM was issued on February 12, 1979 (44 FR 8984). Public hearings were then held in March in Washington, DC and San Francisco, California; 265 comments were received on the docket and analyzed. The final rules were published on June 30, 1980.

Construction and Equipment Existing Self-propelled Vessels Carrying Bulk Liquefied Gases CGD 77-069

These regulations will amend the current ones to include the substantive requirements of the "Code for Existing Ships Carrying Liquefied Gases in Bulk" adopted by the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO). The use of liquefied gas has increased, as have the problems associated with it. Because of its unique properties and the dangers associated with them, new regulations are being drafted. The environmental impact statement and regulatory analysis were completed in February 1979, and an NPRM on these regulations is anticipated in

April 1981.

Licensing of Pilots CGD 77-084

This regulation takes into account the problems caused by increased ship size and unusual maneuvering characteristics. The proposal will require recency of service for each route upon which a pilot is authorized to serve, licensing with tonnage limitations commensurate with pilot experience, and consideration of shiphandling simulator training for pilots of very large vessels. A regulatory analysis and work plan were completed in October 1978. The NPRM was published on November 28, 1980 (45 PR 79258), and corrected on December 8, 1980 (45 FR 80843). A calendar of scheduled public hearings appears at the end of the Keynotes.

Revision of 48 CFR 157.20-5 Division into Three Watch Regulation CGD 78-037

This revision will require an adjustment in vessel manning requirements to bring them into line with current legislation. It will change the requirements which identify personnel who must be used on the three watches and personnel who may be employed in a day working status. An NPRM formerly scheduled to be published on this docket in January 1980 has been deferred pending legislative action in Congress.

Tank Vessel Operations--Puget Sound CGD 76-041

This regulation governs the operation of tank vessels in the Puget Sound area. It was initiated to reduce the possibility of environmental harm resulting from oil spills in Puget Sound. This is to be accomplished by governing the operation of tankers and reducing the risk of collision or grounding.

Former Secretary of Transportation Brock Adams signed a 180-day interim rule on March 14, 1978, prohibiting entry of oil tankers in excess of 125,000 deadweight tons in Puget Sound; this appeared in the Federal Register of March 23, 1978 (43 FR 12257). An ANPRM was published on March 27, 1978 (43 FR 12840). An extension of the interim rule was published in the Federal Register in order to allow the Coast Guard adequate time to complete this rulemaking.

The public hearings scheduled for June 11 and 12 in Seattle, Washington, June 13 in Mt. Vernon, Washington, and June 14 in Port Angeles, Washington, have been completed, and all the comments received have been entered in the docket files for consideration. The extension of the interim navigation rule was published on June 21, 1979 (44 FR 36174). This extension became effective July 1 and will be in effect until the Coast Guard prints notice of its A supplemental cancellation. NPRM was published on July 21, 1980 (45 FR 48827). Copies of documents or the transcripts of the hearings may be obtained by writing to the Marine Safety Council. A final rule on the docket is currently expected in December 1981.

> Personnel Job Safety Requirements for Fixed Installations on the Outer Continental Shelf CGD 79-077

This regulation is concerned with the health and safety requirements for installations engaged in oil field exploration and development. This action was mandated by pending Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) legislation. It will provide more comprehensive protection for personnel employed in vessels and installations in the oil trade.

> Qualifications of the Person in Charge of Oil Transfer Operations, Tankerman Requirements CGD 79-116 and 79-116A

These regulations will redefine and establish qualifying criteria for the certifying of individuals engaged in the carriage and transfer of dangerous cargoes in bulk.

In has been found that most pollation incidents are the result of personnel error; consequently, the minimum qualifications of persons involved in handling polluting substances should be specified.

New NPRMs have been approved by the Secretary of Transportation and will be published in mid-December 1980. A calendar of scheduled public hearings appears at the end of the Keynotes.

Shipboard Noise Abatement Standards CGD 79-134

These standards will establish acceptable sound levels for each of the various vessel compartments based on the latest technology. The standards will differentiate acceptable sound levels for both existing vessels and new vessels, specify acceptable methods of compliance, and establish a hearing conservation program.

During the development of these standards, the U.S. Naval Ocean Systems Center (NOSC), San Diego, California, was contracted by the Coast Guard to evaluate sound levels aboard several U.S. merchant vessels, study the data obtained, and define the extent of the noise problem. NOSC was asked to use this data and other information available to recommend a set of noise levels to be included in the proposed standards for the control and/or elimination of the shipboard noise problem.

This study has been completed. Copies are available through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161; request NOSC technical documents numbers 243, 254, 257, 267, and 405.

Personnel and Manning
Standards for
Foreign Vessels
CGD 79-081(B)

This regulation, deemed necessary to reduce the probability of oil spills, will establish minimum manning levels for foreign tank vessels operating in U.S. navigable waters. It will also establish procedures for the verification of training, qualification, and watchkeeping standards. An NPRM was published in the Federal **Register** on November 17, 1980 (45 FR 75712).

Personnel Safety and Health Requirements for Industrial Vessels CGD 80-15

This regulation is similar to CGD 79-077 and covers vessels engaged in exploration, supply, and support on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). Inasmueh as the safety of the workers on construction vessels will be covered largely by CGD 79-065, Marine Personnel Safety Standards, this project has been withdrawn.

. . .

A complete listing of all Coast Guard proposed regulations, both "significant" and "non-significant," appeared in the Monday, August 25, 1980 Pederal Register (45 FR 56538).

ANY COMPANIES OR INDIVIDUALS WISHING TO SPEAK AT PUBLIC HEARINGS SHOULD CONTACT LIEUTENANT DONALD M. JOHNSON, JR., (G-CMC), U.S. COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS, 2100 SECOND ST. SW. WASHINGTON, DC 20593; (202) 426-1477. THE COAST GUARD HAS SCHEDULED THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC HEARINGS (ALL HEARINGS BEGIN AT 10:00 A.M.):

JANUARY 1981

- 14: <u>CGD 77-084 Licensing of</u> <u>Pilots</u> Bond Court Hotel (Ritz Room) 777 St. Clair Cleveland, Ohio
- 21: CGD 79-116 and 79-116A Qualifications of the Person in Charge of Oil Transfer Operations, Tankerman Requirements Holiday Inn Downtown (Rooms 1 and 2) 2211 Market Street St. Louis, Missouri

(Continued on page 165)

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

"Safe for workers" means "Safe for marine inspectors"

by

CDR Fred H. Halvorsen Chief, Marine Safety School U.S. Coast Guard Reserve Training Center Yorktown, Virginia

In his article "The Marine Chemist and the Marine Safety Inspector" (Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council, Vol. 33, No. 4, April 1976, pp. 70-72), then Lieutenant Commander Fred H. Holvorsen pointed out that the importance the U.S. Coast Guard attaches to the marine chemists' expertise, knowledge, and experience is best illustrated by the foct that their inspection services are required by regulation. Commander John E. Lindak continued the theme in "Upgrading the Marine Chemist Program" (Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council, Vol. 35, No. 7, October 1978, pp. 100-102), where he discussed regulatory developments offecting Notional Fire Protection Association (NFPA) marine chemists and Coast Guard marine inspectors and further described improvements in the marine chemist program. The following article, adapted from a paper presented by Commander Holvorsen and Lieutenant Haas at the Twenty-Second Annual Marine Chemists' Association Seminor held in Baston, Mossochusetts, July 13 - 16, 1980, is the third in the series. It focuses on the marine sofety inspector's perception of the role ployed by the marine chemist and treatment of thot role in safety courses for Coast Guard marine inspectors.

The Marine Chemist

Briefly put, the role of the marine ehemist, as perceived by a Coast Guard safety inspector, is to evaluate and eliminate health, explosion, and fire hazards in marine industries. The chemist's job can be broken down into three areas: hazard identification, hazard evaluation, and hazard control.

Hazard Identification

There are certain hazards inherent in the maritime work environment that must be recognized, especially in confined space entry. Marine chemists, Coast Guard marine inspectors, and ship and shipyard workers are only a few of the individuals required to enter cargo tanks, void spaces, cofferdams, and pump rooms to carry out their duties.

The hazards associated with these operations include:

(1) oxygen deficiency;

LT Thomas J. Haas Chief, Hazard Evaluation Branch U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters Washington, DC

(2) acute/chronic toxicity; and

(3) flammable/explosive atmospheres.

An atmosphere containing less than 16 percent oxygen is considered immediately dangerous to life (normal air contains 21 percent oxygen), and an atmosphere containing 16 to 19.5 percent oxygen is marginally supportive of human life. Variables such as the person's activity in the confined space, his age, weight, state of health, and his smoking habits may well determine the individual's ability to work and survive in an atmosphere with less than 21 percent oxygen without protective respiratory equipment.

A confined space can become oxygen-deficient in several ways:

(1) Oxygen in confined spaces may be depleted if corrosion is taking place.

(2) Spaces may be inerted. The oxygen that may have been present prior to inerting is replaced by the inerting gas. Inerting can be provided by a flue gas scrubber, an inert gas generator, or a nitrogen tank.

(3) Certain cargoes or residues of cargoes, such as scrap iron, fresh fruit, molasses, and various drying oils, absorb oxygen. The tanks then may not contain sufficient oxygen to support life.

(4) Tanks which have been coated with preservatives or other materials require oxygen for the curing process and thus deplete the oxygen supply.

Toxic vapors in tanks and spaces may result in immediate death or injury; this is referred to as acute toxicity. High concentrations of toxic vapors are immediately dangerous to life, and one breath of some vapors could render a person helpless instantly. Even after the cargo is completely offloaded, the residue left in the tank may vaporize, causing high airborne concentrations of the cargo.

concentrations of the cargo. Many toxic cargoes in low vapor concentrations may not have an immediate effect. Repeated exposure to low concentrations of certain ehemical vapors may produce harmful effects that will not become apparent for years afterward. This is termed chronic toxicity. Exposure to certain chemicals may lead to cancer. Exposure to vinyl chloride, for example, may lead to liver cancer; exposure to benzene vapors may lead to leukemia.

A tank atmosphere that is extremely flammable may yet contain sufficient oxygen and not be toxic. The hazard in this case is the possibility of firc and explosion; the tank is considered to be "safe for

December 1980

workers--not safe for hot work." If the atmosphere of the tank is within the flammability limits of the chemical vapor in question, the tank may be entered; extreme caution must then be exercised. The tank should be ventilated until the vapor concentrations are well below the lower explosive level (LEL). Ten percent below the LEL is the recommended level.

Hazard Evaluation

The marine chemist is perceived as being the leading expert in this area. The coastgnardsman assumes that when a certificate is placed on a confined space and it states "safe for workers," $\frac{iT}{IS}$. He also assumes that if the marine chemist's present equipment or techniques are insufficient, he will quickly improve them or will not certify the confined space as safe.

To evaluate the total magnitude of the environmental factors and stresses existing in a confined space, appropriate instruments must be used to measure the hazards. Sampling is performed to identify contaminants present and their sources, determine the amount of worker exposure, and measure the effectiveness of controls installed to minimize exposure.

The type of monitoring instrument used depends on the strategy employed. Samples may be taken to obtain an area average concentration or may be taken at breathing zones to obtain a worker exposure concentration. The chemicals to be monitored, the duration of sampling, and the type of standards to which the result will be compared will determine the choice of instrument. There are two basic types of monitoring instruments, real-time and continuous. The first type, real-time--also called short-time or grab--, employs a glass syringe, hand-held pump, or squeeze bulb to draw a sample through impregnated filter paper, or a gas detection tube. Direct reading instruments (electronic sensors) also yield short-time readings. The second type, continuous--also called longterm--, employs bubblers with reactive or absorbing solutions, adsorption tubes, or slowly reacting gas detection tubes. An air sample is slowly drawn through the bed of adsorption tubes filled with silica gel or chareoal to "trap" the chemical of interest.

After the sampling has continued for a specific period of time, the collected material is desorbed and channeled through an analytical instrument such as a gas chromatograph, infrared analyzer, or other device yielding quantitative results. Additionally, qualitative detection of some gases and vapors may be obtained by indicator badges designed to be worn on the individual. These badges either change color upon exposure to the substance or can be subsequently analyzed in a laboratory. The radiation badge used in hospital X-ray rooms was a prototype for these gas and vapor badges.

Another relatively new method of monitoring toxic gases uses chemically impregnated paper tapes which carry color-producing reagents on an almost completely dry strip of filter paper to conduct spot testing. Continuous monitoring may be performed by pulling the impregnated paper tape through an optics block (after a metered sample of gas has been drawn over it) to read the concentration of the detected gas.

Once an evaluation has been performed, a comparison with certain standards is made to escertain safety. Regulations such as those of the Coast Guard and OSNA, NFPA 306, and the Threshold Limit Values guide are among the standards usually relied upon. The Coast Guard marine safety inspector expects all standards to have been met before the chemist issues his certificate.

One thing must be said generally about detection instrumentation: there is no one instrument which can measure every substance of interest. Each instrument has inherent limitations (i.e., calibration, cost, and the analytical methodology employed). Also, the work practice, the precision necessary to make a comparison with a standard, and time, among other factors, must always be taken into account when a device is being selected.

Hazard Control

The Coast Guard marine safety inspector probably relies more on the marine chemist's certificate than any other control measure to ensure his own safety and health. With this in mind, the marine chemist can understand why the coastguardsman may question him, sometimes to an extreme, if there is any doubt regarding the condition of an enclosed space or an ambiguous certificate, He is not trying to "hassle" the marine chemist; in most cases, he is interested in his own safety.

Corrective measures, when necessary to protect health and safety, are based on the marine chemist's experience, knowledge, and corrected quantitative data and are simed at eliminating, controlling, or reducing the hezards identified.

Marine chemists, the Coast Guard, and the maritime industry have three general means of control: engineering, administration, and personnel protection.

The classical industrial-hygicne means of engineering control include:

(1) Substitution--toxic material, equipment, or processes that create hazardous exposure can often be replaced to reduce the exposure potential;

(2) Isolation-~a material, process, or operation can be isolated physically to eliminate or reduce hazardous exposure;

(3) Enclosure--an entire process or portion can be enclosed to prevent the release of contaminants into the working environment:

(4) Local exhaust ventilation--toxic substances released into a work area can be effectively controlled by meaos of exhaust ventilation applied at a point as close to the source of emission as possible;

(5) Dilute ventilation-ventilation can be provided to dilute low-toxicity solvent vapors;

(6) Wet method--water can be added to reduce dusting when friable material is handled and the water does not interfere with the process.

A vapor control seminar was jointly sponsored by the Coast Guard and the Environmental Protection Agency in December 1978. It provided a forum for an exchange of technical ideas regarding the effectiveness and safety of vapor recovery applied to barges and tankships. For some cargoes with special hazards, the following engineering controls are being contemplated.

(1) Requiring cargo tank segregation from the sea;

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

(2) Changing present gauging requirements from open to closed;

(3) Requiring B/3 vent heights or vent heights of three meters, whichever is greater, for tankships;

(4) Requiring 3.6-meter vent heights for tank barges; and

(5) Purging cargo lines with water or an inert gas prior to disconnecting.

Administrative controls include:

(1) Limiting the number of people in a work area;(2) Limiting the number of hours spent perform-

ing a single function; (3) Good housekeeping; and

(4) Training.

Use of the marine chemist's certificate (an additional administrative control) can minimize hoth acute and chronic hazards found in confined spaces.

Personnel protection includes:

(1) The wearing of protective clothing, gloves, hard hats, and shoes; and

(2) The use of respirators:

(a) emergency escape breathing apparatus;

(b) self-contained breathing apparatus; and

(c) intermediate levels of respiratory pro-

tection (i.e., filters, half- and

full-mask devices).

The marine chemist's certificate is in itself an important administrative control, as stated above, but it can also include important engineering control recommendations, such as ventilation to be employed to minimize or eliminate hazards. Personnel protective equipment recommendations may also be included where appropriate, The coastguardsman expects the engineering and personnel protection controls on the certificate along with all appropriate signatures and a recertification in 24 hours, if necessary.

The Coastguardsman

The formal training of Coast Guard Marine Safety personnel is undertaken at the Marine Safety School, U.S. Coast Gnard Reserve Training Center, Yorktown, Virginia. Both officers and petty officers are given introductory, intermediate, and some advanced level training at Yorktown in all aspects of their assignments over the span of their marine safety careers. Less formalized training and on-the-job training is given on a continuous basis at each field office.

MESPOC

At the Marine Safety School, introductory training for petty officers is given in the five-weck Marine Environment and Systems Fetty Officer Course (MESPOC). The petty officer is given a basic introduction to regulations, Coast Guard policy and practices, pollution response, and basic personal safety. Basic personal safety includes a definition of hazardous respiratory environments, such as oxygen deficiency or the presence of flammable and/or toxic vapors. The petty officer is also introduced to vapor and gas measuring devices. The primary emphasis of the course is personal safety when boarding vessels and especially when entering pumprooms on petroleum tank vessels. Introductory training is also given in the area of emergency response to discharges of oil and hazardous substances; this section includes contact protection (protective clothing), vapor/gas hazards, and measurement devices.

MSBIC

Introductory training for junior and mid-grade officers and a few specially selected senior petty officers is given in a 12-week resident course, the Marine Safety Basic Indoctrination Course (MSBIC). This course covers all aspects of the Coast Guard's Marine Safety Program and emphasizes commercial vessel inspection, port safety and law enforcement, and oil pollution and hazardous substance response. Basic personal safety information is included throughout the course, but the emphasis is on safe entry into belowdecks spaces during routine Coast Guard biennial commercial ship and barge inspections. An introduction to emergency response is also given, including the subjeets of respiratory protection, contact protection, and measurement devices. For MSBIC students, entry into below-decks spaces is covered in approximately three hours of lectures. The student is introduced to the NFPA 306 Standard, the OSHA 29 CFR 1915 Standard, and Coast Guard policy regarding the use of these standards. The personal safety aspects of the standards are emphasized. The point is made that the OSHA tank entry standards are applicable to Coast Guard marine inspectors working in a shipyard environment, i.e., the OSHA competent person can certify a space as safe if the tank last contained flammable or combustible vapors or is a potentially oxygen-As indicated by 29 CFR deficient environment. 1915(b), the competent person cannot certify a space as safe for entry if the tank last contained corrosives or toxic materials.

For hot work, the marine inspector is made cognizant of the fact that only an NFPA-certified marine chemist can make the determination that hot work can be done safely under both the requirements of 29 CFR 1915 and the NFPA 306 Standard (46 CFR 35.01) adopted (by reference) by the Coast Guard. The marine inspector is made aware of the fact that the NFPA marine chemist can be replaced in only two instances:

(1) If, in a port area under Coast Guard jurisdiction (nominally a U.S. port), the services of a marine chemist are not reasonably available, the Coast Guard Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, can select an individual to function in the stead of a marine chemist on a one-time basis only. This is done only on an extremely limited basis. The authors are aware of this being done on a routine basis only in Alaska, where competent persons are routinely permitted to function as marine chemists under 46 CFR 35.01-1(c)(1).

(2) Under 46 CFR 35.01-1(c)(2), a marine chemist ean be replaced at sea, and the senior officer present can certify a tank as gas-free.

Coast Guard policy regarding the proper receipt and signature of a responsible shipyard employce is also discussed. Basically, if a shipyard representative does not countersign and acknowledge receipt of the marine chemist's certificate, the certificate is not acceptable. During this three-hour period, the marine inspector is apprised of the fact that the historical function of a marine chemist was to limit or prevent

December 1980

fire and explosion on tank vessels carrying combustible and flammable liquids. The Coast Guard, however, has come to depend on the marine chemist to provide toxicological testing in a confined space a marine inspector may have to enter. It is thus incumbent on Coast Guard personnel to be vitally aware of, and concerned with, the marine chemist's duties and responsibilities. The point is emphasized that it is best for the inspector to make his inspection as soon after the tank is certified gas-free as possible. During the period, three accidents are discussed at length with the students. The first accident involves the death of a Coast Guard warrant officer in a below-decks space in 1974. This death was eaused by simple oxygen deficiency in a confined space. There was not a current marine chemist's certificate on the barge, nor had a competent person checked the space prior to The second accident discussed is the 1975 entry. Greenville accident, where a marine chemist and three shipyard workers died in a barge explosion while doing hot work. The third aecident occurred while hot work was being performed on a barge containing crude oil residues. Neither a marine chemist nor a competent person had checked the barge, and the resultant explosion killed two, injured ten, and caused over \$1 million damage.

<u>HCTC</u>

¢

ŀ

ł

More advanced training of officers and petty officers in all aspects of the transportation of hazardous materials is given in the three-week Hazardous Chemicals Training Course (HCTC). In the area of marine chemist information, the OSHA and NFPA standards and designations are reviewed and discussed. Additionally, about six hours are spent discussing general chemical hazards, (including vapor-liquid equilibria), basic toxicology, specialized emergency response techniques, and the use of protective equipment. Hands-on training is given with vapor/gas measuring devices, protective clothing, and various types of respirators. Students are also taught the basics of respirator selection and care and maintenance of respirators and detection/measurement devices.

Conclusions

The purpose of this article was to establish and strengthen the professional relationship between the Coast Guard marine inspector and the NFPA marine On the Coast Guard side, it has been chemist. recommended at the Marine Safety School in Yorktown that the local Coast Guard Marine Inspection Offices invite the marine chemists who practice in their areas to speak to a meeting of Coast Guard personnel. Traditionally, a bimonthly "payday" meeting is held at Marine Safety Offices, and guest speakers are often invited to address the assembled group. At this meeting, the marine chemist could explain his testing procedure, demonstrate the use of his test apparatus, discuss what is entailed in a "Safe for workers" or "Safe for hot work" designation, review the conditions he insists upon before he will issue a gas-free eertificate, and discuss the various operations in the area.

The Coast Guard also recommends that its personnel make an attempt to view the marine ehemist during an actual inspection. The idea is not to judge the adequacy of the inspection, but merely to see what the marine chemist does. If Coast Guard personnel have questions regarding the inspection, they are encouraged to ask them. Questions by Coast Guard personnel should be regarded as curiosity rather than as an indictment of the marine chemist's methods.

Insofar as action by the marine chemist is coneerned, the Coast Guard recommends that marine ehemists plan to routinely meet with Coast Guard personnel to discuss methods, techniques, and inspections. If a marine chemist meets Coast Guard personncl in the field, the Coast Guard recommends that he introduce himself and explain what he is doing. Any information is appreciated-personal safety is a topic everyone is interested in.

Keynotes (from page 161)

27: CGD 77-084 Licensing of <u>Pilots</u> Nassif Building (DOT) (Room 2232) 7th & D Streets SW Washington, DC

FEBRUARY 1981

- 3: <u>CGD ??-084 Licensing of</u> <u>Pilots</u> International Hotel (French Room) 300 Canal Street New Orleans, Louisiana
- 4: <u>CGD 79-116 and 79-116A</u> <u>Qualifications</u> of the <u>Person in Charge of Oil</u> <u>Transfer</u> <u>Operations,</u> <u>Tankerman Requirements</u> <u>International</u> <u>Hotel</u> (French Room) 300 Canal Street New Orleans, Louisiane
- 10: <u>CGD 77-084 Licensing of</u> <u>Pilots</u> Holiday Inn Financial District (Lotus Room) 750 Kearny Street San Francisco, California
- 18: <u>CCD 79-116 and 79-116A</u> <u>Qualifications of the</u> <u>Person in Charge of Oil</u> <u>Transfer Operations,</u> <u>Tankerman Requirements</u> <u>Queen Mary Hotel (King's</u> <u>Grill)</u> Pier J Long Beach, California

25: CGD 79-116 and 79-116A Qualifications of the Person in Charge of Oil Transfer Operations, Tankerman Requirements Nassif Building (DOT) (Room 2232) 7th and D Streets SW Washington, DC ¹

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

Statistics of Casualties 1979

The U.S. Coast Guard annually presents a statistical summary of commercial vessel casualties that were investigated by Coast Guard marine inspectors during the previous fiscal year. The public, industry, and the Coast Guard have used the findings of these investigations to establish standards and determine the need for legislation to improve the protection of safety of life and property at sea.

The master of a vessel is required by law to report a marine casualty as soon as possible after its occurrence to the Coast Guard Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection. Casualties involving commercial vessels are required to be reported to the Coast Guard whenever the casualty results in any of the following:

(a) actual physical damage to property in excess of \$1,500;

(b) material damage affecting the seaworthiness or efficiency of a vessel;

(c) stranding or grounding (with or without damage);

(d) loss of life;

(e) injury causing any person to remain incapacitated for a period in excess of 72 hours, except injury to harbor workers not resulting in death and not resulting from vessel casualty or vessel equipment casualty.

The statistical summary on the following pages represents casualties to commercial vessels which meet the above criteria. It is important to note that the summary represents casualties rcported to Coast Guard Headquarters in fiscal year 1979, which ended September 30, 1979. Statistics concerning noncommercial recreation boating accidents will be published separately in a future issue of the Proceedings.

This summary also includes those casualties serious enough by reason of dollar damage or death/ injury to personnel to warrant the convening of a Marine Board of Investigation (specifically, the collision between the USCG CUYA-HOGA and the Argentine M/V SANTA CRUZ on Chesapeake Bay, which resulted in the loss of 11 lives).

Every event involving a vessel or her personnel which meets any of the conditions of a reportable casualty is of great concern to the Coast Guard. A number of reportable casualties are not investigated by the Coast Guard each year simply because they are not reported. Thus it is of primary importance that the masters of all vessels ensure that all casualties are reported and investigated. With the cooperation of the masters, owners, and agents of commercial vessels, many of the unreported casualties can be investigated.

This statistical tabulation is intended to summarize the casualty experience for the entire commercial fleet. Because this summary is so all-encompassing, the use of the statistics may lead to erroneous conclusions unless the limitations of the data are well understood.

The Information and Analysis Staff of the Office of Merchant Marine Safety will gladly assist in quantifying those limitations for each specific need. Comments and recommendations for changes or improvements to these statistics should be addressed to the Commandant (G-MA/16), U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, DC 20593.

Casualties to Commercial Vessels

Getober (. 1978, to September 30, 1979 Farm) Year 1979		Celifying while sectored, docking, or undesking	Coldinion, fog	Codissions with piers and bruckes	Collisions all others	Explosion and/or fre-cango	Explosion and/or fee	Fxploaien and/or fire-pressure	Explosion and/or fire-structure,	Ground with damage to vrame]	Grounding without damage to verset	Founderings, capatizings, and	Heavy weather damage		Ma terral faiture and		L — engineering aduution (. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Teta)
Number of casualities Number of vessils involved Number of inspected vessels involved Mumber of unspected vessels involved	337 1903 205 118	31 3 893 256 837	10 25 8 15	: 65D ! 1280 395 865	371 633 222 411	 4 3 	و در 13	 ; ;	199 207 50 157	410 722 201 521	755 1782 130 832	; 673 76 597	 1 1	' 3 2 	: 333 359 216 43	540 547 229 318	L57 506 83 423	1665 8159 2464 5695
PRIMARY CAUSA Personnet fault: Pitots-Patree Pitots-Patree Pitots-Patree Pitots-Patree Pitots-Patree Unicepand-Drocoumented persons All othert Camulated tesk Ratificited inductivering noom Storms-generate ventier Unicepand Superstanding Storms-generate ventier Uniception ventier Uniception ventier Patiture of equipment Uniception ventier Patiture of equipment Patiture of equipment Patitur	17 4 188 80 12 2 8 1 4 4 4 4 4 5 8 5 7 2 2	19 144 47 20 8 2 8 1 3 2 8 1 3 2 1 1 1 1	4	36 20 368 32 30 2 3 3 4 9 5 4 5 4 3 3	4 1 29 39 12 2 2 31 31 31 55 31 298 40	 			4 5 10 	9 10 197 78 10 26 4 3 18 4 4 3 18 4 4 7 7 7 399 15	 \$1 \$2 \$75 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$41 \$30 \$41 \$5 \$5 \$37 \$37 \$37	2 1 48 42 14 		2	13 3 5 147 147	3 3 1 505 7	1 1 23 10 19 19 18 18 18 1 23 123 4 4 243	139 69 1386 430 177 11 73 295 25 26 25 26 105 6 1105 6 1105 543
VESSEL Ty pg Inspected varantes Passenger and ferty—targe Passenger and ferty—small Preight Cargo Harge Catalonp Tank Harge Public Unangortod versals: Tashing ThefTowing Foreign Other	3 23 57 15 15 16 7 7 212	5 19 68 14 92 8 14 14 14 93 14 207	8 5 5 5 1	! 9 95 22 \$7 217 	2 12 69 25 20 01 2 31 58 18 18 19		4	2	2 !2 !3] 11 5 5 5 5 4 74 47 15	25 28 10 15 114 223 34	6 A 70 27 13 316 316 145 318 112	2 22 27 3 4 12 6 259 139 4	1 	2	 	9 38 97 2 73 3 1 1 8 256 28 73	2 2 2 3 3 3 7 2 2 3 3 7 2 3 5 3 8 2 9	14 177 594 130 351 261 102 1272 1272 2109 558
GRORS TOWNAGE 308 tone or less Over 388 to 1,000 tons Over 19,000 tons Over 19,000 tons	529 201 180 93	455 151 150 701	18 8 -1 8	514 329 291 147	34] 94 132 65	، ا د د	11 		140 2: 2: 2: 2:	351 196 196 199 5 8	277 508 307 203 5184	520 117 29 T		1	106 28 65 179	; 11 12 52 14	321 2.4 192 50 50	1756 4072 1649 (390 (d46
LENGTH Lass (ban 100 feet 100 io Less than 300 feet 300 io Less than 300 feet 303 feet quart over	435 449 35 84	361 320 55 137	11 6 4 4	391 676 47 LGD	267 , 266 ' 30 70	 L 2) 	····	12C 52 8 27	295 346 26 53	474 615 56 187	, 457 204 3 9 i		1	104 87 27	- 3: 4 40 13 770	190 257 19 43	3415 7328 320
ALE Laso (han jó years 19 to istas Tian 10 years 20 to istas tian 30 years 30 years and over	i 121 280 91 159	371 205 123 192	10 6	524 340 379 229	290 126 69 (149	2	5 4 2	2 1 3 1	72 45 28 62	307 302 93 115	534 310 140 742	167 167 120 219	 	- 1 1	241 241 75 40 81	203 87 74 183	147 119 57 183	1254 1986 1685 1634

\$

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

167

1

Casualties to Commercial Vessels -- Continued

					•		_	Γ.			· _		-					_
) October 1, 1978, to September 30, 1979 Fieldi Year 1979	Colliturates centaling, centing and overlighting	Collisions with anchared, the king, or undecking	Collision, Topp	ાંગોધારા જ્યાં છે. આ ગામમાં આવેલા છે.	Collitions; all others	Exolwion and/or Ere-stargo	Riplosion and/or fire-vessel's fuel	Explosion and/or fire -pressure vessis, bolizera	Exployion and/or fire-structure. equement.ell othern	Grounding with damage to vessri	Crounding without damage to verse!	Fourtier ได้สูง. cegas(z ไทรีล, ลทต่ กิจฉญักฐาน	Heavy weather damage	Cargo da magre	 Maleriai failu re-a isebure and equipment	Material failure—machinery and ergineering eruqment	Caguelty not otherwise classified	7015
LOCATION OF CASUALTY			· ·		<u>61</u>					84	212	74	L _			BS	27	808
Infano)—Guil Diazo)—Pacific Orean—Atlantic Orean—Atlantic Orean—Pacific Orean—Pacific OreatLates Westth Rivers OreatThe Nuters	(80 20 19 14 5 5) 3 1	114 32 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 13 3	3 1 2 2 2	191 42 14 11 11 64 103 103 3	42 51 13 24 34 35 3	2 1 		 3 L 1	49 14 17 22 20 4 18 8	98 39 28 28 40 24 86 4 3	1 208 54 76 10 23 26 170 19 3	105 47 77 57 78 7 85 2		2	12 14 14 15 16 19 5 15 2	21 67 194 190 47 4 35 1	99 8 77 8 10 9 32 4	1128 418 410 288 511 251 732 732 JLL 20
TIME OF DAY				l		ļ			l				-	I	! '		!	
Deylight Nightelme Twisight	145 132 39	185 106 17	;	351 759 - 40	180 146 41	1 1	4 3 2	4 3	101 90 18	178 204 48	383 518 54	390 199 59	1) 2 1	70) 78 53	341 148 53	76 61 20	9473 1760 432
ESTIMATED LOSSES (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS))	1		۱.		l l			
Venet Cargo Property	93 470 7 po 37 18	L0175 1328 12511	4445 268 110	16103 3936 34633	8055 437 1425	125 5	4471	589) 	41212 1293 3219	32978 437 1299	4D4 13 01	44831 15138]#DD		54	5475 1846 329	8067 130 348	16458 92723 1140	210722 48172 49498
VESSELS TOTALLY LOST Insported Uninspected	2 81)	 ;	j,	 13	:i	 i	• ••• ••	4 36	2 33	יייי י	15 179	•••••] ' · •		י ני	29 301

Death/Injuries Due to a Vessel Casualty

October 1, 1978. 10 September 36, 1979 Piecel Year 1979	Calibrating, Deeting and eventseing	Collbione while suchared, docking, i ar undocking,	с	C glikkness with piers and bridger	Colileions: Buildhers	Esploilon and/or fire-cargo	5 th (cation wat/in fire-rease!)s Net	Estyleaton and/or tire-pressure vesasis, boilters	Buphesion and/or fire-retructure. equipment. all other	Orounding with damage to vesse!	Conucting without detring a to verse	Founderungs, capsizings, and flaceings	Heavy weather damage	Lange damage	Material (arkre-structure and aquiptomet	Mater al fallu na - m achinery and enginee ing equipment	Caunality mut otherwist classified	
Rumber of casualties Number of respected years to involved Rumber of uningected years is involved Rumter of persone deceased/injured	24 1 15 24/21	7 5 4/8	2 2 J/1	5 7 1 7/12	9 2 7 6/12	3 3 3/1	i i /1	 7	24 4 20 23/30	4 	3 3 2/2	57 3 34 304/15			 1 7 4 5/6	8 5 2 4/3	6 5/2) 165 33 130 100/119
PR(MARY CAUSE Personnel faults Pijota-Stale Pijota-Stale Diota-Stale Diota-Stale Diota-Stale All solutions All solutions Cabeulatod risk Restricted maneuvering room. Storma-adverse weither (pageab currents	 ••••• ••••• ••••• •••••	4		2	 	 			 	1		 				1	3	1 0 21 25 6 0 13

December 1980

Getaher 1, 1978, to Soptembor 30, 1978 Filosal Year 1979	Collisions: crossiog, meetug and overfaking	 Collisions while anchored, docking, or undocking 	The second s	Collisions with pices and bridges	Collisions; all others			Explosion and/or fire-promure vessets, bolices	։ Esploran and/or /Jn:etructurs, ալմարտու։ ով օքիան	Ground we with damage to recent	 Grounding without damage to veenel		Reavy werther damage	Cargo Annage	- ···· ··· Material failurtalructure and equipment	Material (alaur - matulaer avd signerring equipment		Total
	—		1	i	••		 I	1		1		I				ĺ	 I	
Never wellon, bunk custion Depin of wells law than experies Fellurio equiprimet Unsequently-dept of fraintenance Floating depts austance Testing aut of other vossel or person Onknown-insultationt la(ormation V(28EL TYPE		·····	 	····· ····· ····· 1	1 3 1 1	i i			7	 		 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	* • • • •	2	0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 0 18 18 18
Imagnet to C. versels. Passenger and forty—large Passenger and forty—small Freight Cargo Barge Tank Barge Tank Barge Publec Missellaneous Dinsurget de veseus:	/1 1/3 /2 1/ 	2/		1/8	/3	1/ 2/1		/ /1	4/6		; ; ; ; ; ;	//1 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 3/ 3/ 	/1 1/ /1 /2	•••••	1/10 4/7 8/9 0/9 1/6 2/2 /2 2/3
Fishing Tug/Towing Foreign Other	/\$ /4 1/ 21/T	/3 2/ /3	/1) 1/4	4/1 1/3	••••			3/6 2/5 7/8 1/3	8/4	1/1	77/4 12/3	1		1/1 1/2	1 1 1/1	1/ - 1/4 - 3/1	92/23 19/21 10/10 51/25
PARTICULARS OF PERSON DECEASED/INJURID	ļ						ļ	1	I						•			
Papers of degeased/injureds Ligenmer-Coast Guard Degetmert-Casst Guard No license or sociations Other-unknown-Cocign Status or degestry on vessel: Pamenger Langehoreman-Rachog worksr Crewmember	1/5 /3 22/13 1/ 7/8	2/6 2/6 /2 2/3	1 1/1 1/1	2/10 2/10 // // //	/3 6/9 /5	3/1 3/1	, п , п ,	1 	/3 /2 15/17 7/8 /1 3/ 19/93	5/4 /1 	2/3	5/2 2/1 97/9 4/ 99/12		 	3/4 5/4 3/1 3/6	/3 #/2 1/1 /4	21 4/ 1/1 2/ 3/2	8/19 3/1] 170/79 9/9 15/26 9/2 157/81
Other Activity engagesion Off-duty Deck-department-duties Esgine-department-duties Steward department-duties Handling esgo- Bisching Devite	4/2 \$/9 3/	2/1 /2 1/1		- 17 - 74 - 74 - 74	2/2]/3	· //	 	/1	1/6	(1 	1/1	1/ 5/ 14/4			1/1 1/3 1/3 1/ 2/1	37 37 73		9/9 21/10 39/39 4/10 /1 3/1 31/4
Char and unknown Concellon (or weeks) Greet Lakes Westom Rivers Inland Untrahis Foreign und other	5/# 5/2 7/8 15:13 15:13	/2 1/ 2/ /5 2/1	17 74 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	/# 2/ 1/L 1/21	/5 1/2 3/2 1/10 3/	2/1	 (/1 E/11 1/4 1/4 1/4 1/4 1/1	/1 3/1 3/2	1/ 2/2	3/ 60/4 7/1 63/6 34/2 /1			1/ 3/8 /2 J/	1/1 1/2 	2/ 2/1 4/1 × 1/ ~ 1/	12/26 81/23 7/4 10/13 111/88 42/8 10/5
PART OF BODY INVOLVED		l	1				-	:		1				l	i .)	· ·	•
nowe Beck Chorat Extremitien Encen Drownbag Unspecificiand n⊯cellaneous	9/7 /1 /6 /3 	/1 // //	// 1 	/2 /1 /5 2/	/3 /6 /1 	/1 1/ 2/		/1	2/6 /1 11 -11 5/ 15/10	//2 //2 //7		/2 /1 1/ /2 100/ 3/7			2/3 /2 /2 3/	3/1 	/1 	17/26 /12 1/14 /32 1/ 146/1 /25/33

Deaths/Injuries Due to a Vessel Casualty -- Continued

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

5

.

Sec.

							_										-					— —		
October I, 1978. to September 10, 1979 Pizeel Ycar 1975	Natural cath		Suncide	Disappearance	Sippa and fallt—ledders	Slips and faik-gang ways	Slips and falle-on dect	Supe and fatter other	Falls from vessel—into water	Paulle india holds as Lunke	Streek by objects; failing, dropped m.moviet	Estructure and applying into	Struct against, crushed, bumped	Operating machinery and tools	Burna (wither then Burna (wither then electrical) and scalar	Electrical shock and burns	Caught in lines, chains, or wire rupes	Placening or crushing	lleavy weather	Cherchacrition, spraws, and straink	r — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		University of insufficient information	
Number of deaths Number of uninxpected vessels (nyo)red Number of inxpected vessels involved CATSE OF DEATH) 13 33 80	 	 3 4	954	; 5 1	 	1 ,	14	LLO 78 92	10 5 5	31 22 10	14 4 17	י 	L 5	' 	5 4 1	3 	2	1 • • • •	 	 	5 3 2	9 L 8	347 131 146
Intacting iten Physical deficiency or hand(cup Umade nervenent or positure Psychological—Immetrify, insanity Ubaite practice Violation of taw or regulation Human errors Decks albibery or cultiered Weather conditions Pour maintenance or mousekenping Inadequart rails or guards fination of augument insidequarts info preservers Indequarts info preservers				 	2		1	7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 4 4 4 2 8 8 8	2 4 1	2 13 10 10	1		1		2	3	1				5	l 	5 1 138 22 3 3 3 3 12 1 1 1 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TYPES OF VESSELS INVOLVED Industrial vesselse: Passenger and inry-large Passenger and fray-small Preight ships and barges Tankwings and barges Public Visceplanecus	6 10 32 14 2 3	 	1 2 1	1 1 2	. , 10) 1	· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4 11 7 10		5 1 4 '	1 5 3 	2 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · ·		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	ا ا ب ا	13 26 81 30 2 35
Uningperied vessels: Fishing Tuga Foreign Moreilaneous	13 13 5 7	····	2 t	2 2 		1 1	1 	7 4 1	26 19 5 28		3 2 7 1. 9	2				2	7 .	2		· · · · · ·		1 2	1	51 39 38 53
TIME OF DAY Bightime "wilight PARTICULARS OF PERSON DECIRASED	75 79 9	· · · · ·	5 7	 	2 . 4		·····	13 	54 43 13	, ,	22 5 4	13 2 1	,	2		1	2 2	?	1 	. 		2 3 	3 4 2	208 108 33
Papers of deceased Liternal—Coast Gupt1 Decument—Coast Gupt1 No lisents of document Other=unknown—Sarbig Saus or expactly or weight Passenger Longsharanna—ha-bor worker Grewmenber Dther Astivity angeged in: Off days Deck dynartment duties Steward department duties Steward duties Steward duties Steward duties Steward duties St	14 28 47 4 21 87 5 44 14 8 4 1 1 7 1 17 37 6		* * * *				, , 1 1	1 1 1 2 	7 24 4 7 8 4 13 37 5 14 14 5 12 1	1 6 7 3 5 7 8 1	4 22 5 14 7 21 4 1 3 1	15 1 7 8 1 	1				3 3 1 2	2 1				2 . 1 2 5 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1		97 70 211 29 43 17 250 37 65 55 79 4 16 24 23 290 90
Western Rivers Inund Oceans Foreign and other	11 43 14 15		2 4 L	5	3 - 3		1	11	31 68 6		24	2 12 1	1 .	i		3	3	i .			••••	2	1 1	58 212 29 39

Deaths on Board Commercial Vessels Not Involving a Vessel Casualty

December 1980



Deaths on Board Commercial Vessels Not Involving a Vessel Casualty -- Continued

Personnel Injuries on Board Commercial Vessels Not Involving a Vessel Casualty

October I, 1975, 10 September 39, 197 Fuecal Year 1973	9 9		 	- Lance	falls-ladders	fallsgangways		falls-other	n vessel—unto water	- holds or tanks	objects; falling, dropped na	and argohyxuation	amsi, ແກສທິສຕ່, humped ຂອຍs	r mechinery and tools	her than and sealds		1 linus, chains, or wire	or crushing	inther	- tion, sprains, and strains	erationa, bruises, and		or insufficient information	
	Natural	Нотго		Disappee	 Ships and	Silps and	Siles and	 Blips and	n Falle fro	ln alla?	Struck b	- Experiments	Strucht a into obj	 Operatur	Burns (of electric	Electric	Cenght u Cenght u			Overeze	Cuts, lee Delhétur	Altercat	nycytyn()	Total
Number of injuries Number of injuries Number of inspected vessels involved Number of inspected vessels involved	- 1 4	· · ·			11] 12 9 9	, 17 , 17 , 17	251 15 236	136 14 122	 1R 12 4	 5 4	306 45 261	' 4 _▲	114 5 109	50 8 1 1	43 4 39	: 6	60 27 33	47 6 41	3	205 19 186	- 64 10 54	47 6 41	46 12 34	t 536 197 1339
Infeatention Physical deficiency or handletap Dasse movement or posture Psychological—intrasturity, insentty Unanfe practice Violation of law or regulation Human errors					2 1 12 21 57	1	2 3 19 8	28 1 21 67	! 1 1 	1 , 2	2 11 48	 	1 15 1 7 73	1 6 38	11 26	1 	4	1 4 5 35	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17 82 12 75	1 4 	1	3 3 16	10 34 184 46 184 603
Lowes support or cultered Westher excitions Pour maintenance or housek coping Lowespute lighting Lowespute bighting Lowespute of equipment Lowespute the prevision Lowespute the prevision					B 5 1 2 1	3	57 13 3 1 3	1 3 3 8		1 1	3 16 5 1 31 3		2 12 2	1	3 	1	2	i	2	6 6 1 	2 4 1 2			82 65 10 7 68 6
Instruction of the second seco					1		1	i			6 1	 	,	1						ŀ	1			9

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

Personnel Injuries on Board Commercial Vessels Not Involving a Vessel Casualty --Continued

			_						. –						r—				т					
October 1, 1978, to September 30, 1 Fiscal Year 1979		Nomietide	Succide	Ubaqpearantr	Sjipe end falls-lacters	Stype cant falle-Cangerays	Slipe and fails-on deck	Slipe and falls-other	Ralls from versel-into water		Silvact by objects; lating, dropped or inoving	Emponeure and asphykmetion	Structs against, crushed, bumped into objects	Operating mechanics and tools	Burns (other then electrical) and scalds	Electrical shock and burns	Changhat an laneas, chantra, or ware coupen	Prinching or crushing	Hsavy weather	Overence team, apresing, and studies	Tuts, bestelione bruister, and	Alternations and marcaduet	Underwood or insufficient information	Total
TYPES OF VERSELS INVOLV	ED			1				i	ļ											İ	•			
Inspected vessels: Pessenger and forry-large Pessenger and forry-anal Preight hap and bargen Politicallys and bargen Molitic Misseetian Uninspected vessels: Pishing Tuge Pardign Materialatous					3 74 11 7 3 5 4	13 2 2 	 9 62 66 10 5 5 1 3	4 92 19 19 4 5 5 5	3 1 	7 1 1 	4 183 34 7 30 ; 19 20 2 4	1	91 ' \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	29 7 3 3 1 1 1 3		3	1 2 19 0 	29 7 2 3 4 2		- 145 27 4 4 4 7 3	41	32 7 2	24 9 ! 4 2 2	20 30 212 41 78 75 73 12 37
TIME OF DAY	1	1	1		!	:	i			1			I	ì		1 1								
- Jleytime Nighttime Twilight PARTICULARS 07 PERSON INJURED					71 34 8	10 10 1	163 67 19	94 35 7	12 3 1	3 1 J	215 80 123	3 L	82 24 8	40 9 2	(د 8 4	4	41 19 7	36 9 2	2 L	152 29 24	40	23 82 2	30 4 12	1023 1023
Papers of person injuncts Papers of person injuncts LicenseCoast Guard DecymentCoast Guard No Utense or document Othetunknownforeign Status of capacity on vessel: Passengier Longshureman-harbor worker Crewmentber Othet Othet Celevinentber Othet Celevinentber Othet Celevinentber Othet Steward department duties Steward department duties Steward department duties Handling corgio Pisibing Deills Pessenger Othet District Lakes Western Rivers Inland Steward department duties					17 17 13 13 13 13 14 40 40 59 1 14 45 9 1 14 45 9 12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	L Id 15 2 6	25 700 25 1 1 3 3 238 5 5 12 33 34 1 3 4 1 3 8 4 1 7 1 8 5 7 1 8	19 99 18 2 129 3 17 728 10 10 10 1 7 36 31	1 12 12 6 19 4		47 241 56 240 16 16 15 12 12 12 5 2 14 15 10 14 15	1 1 2 	13 93 8 110 110 11 61 25 7 4 4 5 3 25 34	11 17999 1 1 45 5 1 28 1 28 1 1 1 8 1 9 9 1 1 45 5 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 9 9 9 1 1 1 9 9 9 1 1 	16 20 6 3 40 3 1 6 28 3 5 (7 19 19	3 1 1 	5 28 27 58 2 2 1 2 40 1 18 18	3 37 7 44 3 4 13 9 11 8 1 15 13		30 160 34 1 201 4 201 4 23 98 57 1 3 7 1 1 3 8 12 6 12 53 48	9 45 10 62 2 3 27 14 8 27 14 14 10 10	8 28 2 1 1 8 5 2 10 10 12 12 3 14 7	T 29 8 2 1 4 4 4 1 5 5 9 1 1 5 7 1 7	2166 1087 223 10 24 1 1459 91 129 702 337 702 337 702 337 337 23 342 28 453 42 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 337 33
PART OF BODY (NYOLVE)	, '				42	1] "		12	1	106		48	30	9	Ľ	18	Lē	•••••	96	30	13	171	581
Head Back Chust Earre milles Diness Drevening Urapeolitics and muscellaneous	····· ····· ·····				21 27 12 47	1 2 11	48 42 31 125 1 4	20 3 22 74 	10	1 1 1	79 10 17 192	1	19 30 39 63	1 1 4 	10 5 20	1	1 2 58	1 2 42	1	17 48 24 74	4 3 55	30 4 12	5 2 4 2 7 13	261 309 156 843 8 1 56

Marine Safety Council Membership

As our readers may have noticed from the masthead, the Marine Safety Council has undergone some changes in the past year. Rear Admiral Richard A. Baumann joined the Council in May 1980, when he was appointed Chief of the newly established Office of Navigation. In July 1980 the Office of Boating Safety was merged with the Office of Public and International Affairs to become the Office of Boating, Public, and Consumer Affairs; Rear Admiral H. W. Parker was appointed as the Chief of the newly consolidated office and assumed a seat on the Council. The Council continues to be chaired by Rear Admiral Clifford F. DeWolf, a profile of whom follows:



Rear Admiral DeWolf took charge of the Coast Guard Chief Counsel's Office in September of 1978.

He began his career in the U.S. Coast Guard in 1950 as an ensign aboard the CGC BIBB and advanced through various capacities to Operations Officer/ Navigator. Entering the Coast Guard Academy as a Cadet in July 1946, he graduated with a degree in General Engineering in 1950. He was subsequently assigned as Commanding Officer of an 83-foot patrol boat in Alaska. This led to his assignment as part of the original 95-footer precommissioning detail in Curtis Bay, Maryland. Following that tour of duty, he returned to Alaska as Commanding Officer of the CAPE CORAL, one of the first twelve 95-foot patrol boats. After being selected for legal training in 1955, he attended Georgetown University Law Center in Washington, DC. He received his Juris Doctor Degree from that institution in 1957 and was admitted to the bar. After a short postgraduate indoctrination tour in the Legal Division at U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, he was assigned as Commanding Officer of the CGC LEGARE, a ship engaged in search and rescue out of New Bedford, Massachusetts. He next served a tour at the Coast Guard Academy as an instructor in law, seamanship, and other professional studies.

In 1963 Rear Admiral DeWolf was assigned as Staff Legal Officer in the 17th Coast Guard District at Juneau, Alaska. From there he was assigned to the CGC CHAUTAUQUA, first as Executive Officer and then as Commanding Officer on ocean station patrol between Hawaii and Japan. From 1967 to 1972 he served as the Coast Guard's principal legislative counsel during the development and drafting of the Federal Boat Safety Act, the Ports and Waterways Act, and other legislation important to the Coast Guard.

In the absence of a Coast Guard Judge Advocate General Corps, Rear Admiral DeWolf served collaterally throughout his career as counsel and Law Officer in Coast Guard courts-martial and related activities. He also served collaterally as a judge for the appellate review of courts-martial on the Coast Guard Court of Review. In 1972 he was assigned as one of two full-time Coast Guard military trial judges for the trial of general and special courts-martial. This was followed by a tour as Staff Legal Officer of the 12th Coast Guard District in San Francisco. He was advanced to Chief, Operations Division, in that District in July 1975. Rear Admiral DeWolf, as a Captain, served as Chief of Staff in the Eighth Coast Guard District from September 1976 until his promotion to the rank of Rear Admiral in June 1978. From that time until his assignment as Chief Counsel he served as Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

Rear Admiral DeWolf's awards include the Meritorious Service Medal, the Coast Guard Commendation Medal, the National Service Defense Medal, and the WWII Victory Medal.

Rear Admiral DeWolf was born on May 8, 1928, in New Haven, Connecticut. He is married to the former Marcia B. Staub of Waterford, Connecticut. They have five children: Keith, Janice, Christine, Kent, and Mark.

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circulars

The effective Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circulars listed below can be obtained individually or by subscription, free of charge, by writing to Commandant (G-MP-4/14), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington, DC 20593, or calling (202) 426-2163.

7-56	Manned LSTs: Structural Reinforcement and Drydocking; Hull Inspection Requirements
10-60	Placards, Forms, and Instructions Required to be Posted Aboard Vessels; Alternate Materials and Methods
12-61	Inspection Procedures for Approved Inflatable Life Rafts Held in Storage
2-62	Watertight Bulkheads in All Inspected Vessels—Maintenance of Watertight Integrity
4-62	Renewal of Deck Officers' Licenses - Great Lakes
5-62	Renawel of Deck Officers' Licenses Wastern Rivers
9-62	Liquefied Compressed Gas Carra Hase
1-63	Notes on Inspection and Repair of Wooden Bulls
2-63	Guide for Inspection and Repair of Lifesaving Equipment
11-63	LSTs as Unmanned Barges: Structural Reinforcement and Drydocking; Hull Inspection
	Requirements
5-64 CH-1,2	Renewal of Ocean Operators' and Operators' Licenses
7-64	Renewal of Operators' LicensesGreat Lakes
8-64	Renewal of Operators' Lieenses-Western Rivers
8-64A	Renewal of Operators' Licenses—Western Rivers
1-65	24.0' x 8.0' x 3.58' Steel Lifeboats with Removable Interiors, Oar-propelled (App. No.
	160.035/398/0), Hand-propelled (App. No. 160.035/411/0), and Motor-propelled (App. No.
	160.035/412/0), manufactured by Welin Davit & Boat, Perth Amboy, New Jersey, Replacement of
	Short Breast Plates
7-65 CH-1	Renewal of Deck Officers' Licenses
10-63	Stability Determination in Capsizing Cases Involving Uninspected Vessels
12-65	Alteration or Modification of Existing Cargo or Tank Vessels; Associated Safety Improvements
1-66	Requirements for Hull Structural Steel—Structural Continuity
3-66	Dual Tonnages; Application of
1-67	Stability TestPreparations and Procedures
3-67	Alteration of Ship's Structure which may Affect the Adequacy of instance Safety Devices
4-67	Application of incomputible insulation Requirements and dentification of Approved materials
8-67	Fixed Mud Ballast; Procedures and Standards for its Use
3-68	Tensile Fasteners
4-00 7_29	Protective Equipment Required for Fittements Outrits
7-08 9-69	Classifiantian of Vassals as Self-nonellad
0-00	Automated Main and Auviliary Mathinary
1-05 9_60	Submission of Reports for the Shipment and Discharge of Scamen Not Shipped of Discharged
2-09	Before a Shioning Commissioner: Information Concerning
3-69	Z Nomograph Method of Calculating Available GM
4-69	Inclusion of Social Security Numbers on Certificates of Discharge and Discharges for Masters
5-69	Carriage of Flammable and Combustible Liquids in Portable Tanks
7-69 CH-1.2.3	Budraulic Releases with Primary Lifesaving Equipment and Alternate Float-Free Arrangements
8-69	Impulse-Projected Rocket Type Line-Throwing Appliances
11-69	Statement of Claims for Specially Exempted Water-ballast Spaces in Tonnage Calculations
12-69	Special Examination in Lieu of Drydocking for Large Mobile Drilling Units
2-70	Acceptance of Pressure Vessels Used as Decompression Chambers or for Other Purposes Related
4-70	Powder Loads for Lyle Type Line Throwing Guns

December 1980

6-70	Fixed Fire Extinguishing Systems for Use in Galley Ventilating Equipment
7-70	Marine Type Portable Fire Extinguishers
1-71	Repair of Boiler Safety Valves
2-71	Pipe Stress Analysis Calculations; Procedure for Submission of
4-71	Valves Employing Resilient Material
5-71	Index to 46 CFR Part 151 (Certain Bulk Dangerous Cargoes on Unmanned Tank Barges),
	Subchapter 0
6-71	Monitoring Carbon Monoxide (CO) in Ship's Cargo Spaces
2-72	Coast Guard Approval of Hull Structural Plans
3-72	Portable Radio Apparatus, Training in Use of
4-72	Definition of Parallinic Hydrocarbon Commodities
6-72 CH-1	Guide to Fixed Fire-Fighting Equipment Aboard Merchant Vessels
1-73	Pilot Ladders Used Aboard Merchant Vessels
3-73	Intact Stability Criteria for Passenger and Cargo Ships under 100 Meters in Length
4-73	American Bureau of Shipping Approval of Machinery and Electrical Plans
7-73	Main Propulsion Boiler Automation
8-73	Alternate Means of Determining the Weight of CO ₂ in Fire Extinguishing Systems
9-73 CH~1	Implementation of the Pollution Prevention Regulations (33 CFR, Subchapter 0 and Amendments
0.74	to 46 CFR, Subenapter D)
2-(4	Change in Administration of Ships Stores and Supplies of a Dangerous Nature
9-14	Implementation of the Regulations Concerning Licenses for Operation of Oninspected Towing Versel (45 CFR subset 1) 46 and Southors 157 10-95 157 10-95
4-74	tesses (to Crn, suppart 10.10 and sections 151.10-03, 151.10-03, 151.30-40)
41-11 ¥	Stability mornation required on inspected and conspected o.s. vessels receiving a Load Line Costificate and Social Vessels Receiving Costificates
6-74	Uning tion of Inset Conditions on Board Tank Berge
7-71	Dil-water Sengrators: Anopotone of
1-75	Fire Sefety Standards for Foreign Pessenger Vessels
2-75	Alteration of Existing Bafts to Comply with Coopered Inflation Standards
3-75	Bulk Grain Carroes
2-76	Damage Stability Calculations for Tank Vessels
3~76	Stability of Fishing Vessels
1-77	Unified Interpretations of the 1966 International Convention on Load Lines
2-71	American Bureau of Shipping Accentance of Structural Fire Protection Approval
3-77	Code of Sufe Practice for Ships Carrying Timber Deck Cargoes
4-71	Shifting Weights or Counter Flooding During Emergency Situations
5-77	Inspection of Hydraulie Starting Systems for Lifeboats and Survival Capsules
1-78 CH-1	Automation of Offshore Supply Vessels of 100 Gross Tons or Over
2-78	Bulk Grain Cargo Regulations (46 CFR 31.10-33, 46 CFR 74.10-12, and 46 CFR 93.20)
3-78	Cross-Reference List Between the IMCO Chemical Code and 46 CFR, Part 153
4-78 CH-1	Inspection and Certification of Existing Mobile Offshore Drilling Units
L-79	Literature Concerning Hazardous Cargoes
2-79	Aluminum Bus Bars
3-79	Lifeboat Capacity on Foreign Flag Passenger Vessels
4-79	Recommended Procedures for Using Smith & Wesson International Line Throwing Appliances
5-79	Inerting and Tank Cleaning Procedures for Alkylene Oxide Containment Systems
6-79	Coast Cuard Review of Merchant Vessel Plans and Specifications
7-79	1969 Amendments to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution of the Sea by
	Oil 1954; Operational Compliance with
8-79	Shipment and Discharge of Seamen
1-80	Inspection of Viking Un-load Release Gear on Watercraft America Lifeboats
2-80	Poured Metal Socket Connections for Lifeboat Falls
a~ou 4_90	Acceptance of Get Coals and Composite Laminate Coalings which weet 46 CFR 17(10-5(8-1)
1-00 5-00	Installation of Reference two Material and Pro-Lights on Lifesaving Equipment
P-00	Recommended Proceedies for Control of Aspestos fizzard on Board metematic vesses, COS
6-80	Guide to Structure Bie Protection Aboard Merchant Vessels
7-80	Use of Fire Detection Systems Which Are Not Approved under 46 CFR 161 002
8-80	Fire Hazard of Polyurethane and Other Organic Foams
9-80	Servicing Requirements of Inflatable Liferafts
10-80 CH-1	Temporary Licenses and Certificates of Service for the Crews of Offshore Supply Vessels under
	P.1. 96-176
11-80	Structural Plan Review Guidelines for Aluminum Small Passenger Vessels
12-80	National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard No. 306. "Control of Gas Hazards on
	Vessels 1960"
13-80	Breathing Apparatus for Tank Vessels

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

Chemical of the Month

Chlorine: Cl₂

Physical Properties boiling point: freezing point: at 46°C (U5°F):

-34.05°C (-29.3°F) -101.00°C (-149.8°F) 12.2 atm (180 psia)

1 ppm (0.0001%)

3 ppm (0.0003%)

Threshold Limit Values time weighted average: short term exposure limit:

Densities 1.56 (water = 1.0) at -35°C liquid density: 2.49 (air = 1.0) at 0°C

vapor density:

Identities U.N. Number 1017 CLX CHRIS Code

Chlorine is a heavy, noncombustible gas with a pungent, irritating odor. Although chlorine does not burn, it can increase the severity of a fire because of its highly reactive nature. The gas is greenish-yellow, while liquefied chlorine is clear and amber-colored. As might be expected from the fact that it was used as a poison gas in World War I, chlorine is extremely toxic. It is liquefied for shipping and large-volume storage, since liquefied chlorine will fit into only 0.2 percent of the space occupied by the gas (the quantity of chlorine that can be carried as a liquid in one barge would require 456.8 barges if it were carried as a gas). By the same token, however, one volume of liquid expands to 456.8 volumes at 0°C and 1 atm, which means that a ruptured tank can give rise to a large vapor cloud. The chlorine vapor is dangerous; breathing a concentration of only 1000 ppm (0.10%) will result in death in minutes, and breathing a concentration of 40 to 60 ppm (0.004 to 0.006%) for 30 to 60 minutes can cause permanent lung damage. As shown above, the Workplace Time Weighted Average is a mere 1 ppm (0.0001%) -- in other words, employees working a normal 8-hour day, 40-hour week may be repeatedly exposed to a concentration of only 1 ppm before suffering adverse effects. The Short Term Exposure Limit, the maximum concentration a worker may be exposed to for 15 minutes or less without suffering irritation, irreversible tissue change, or impairment of judgment (provided such exposure occurs no more than 4 times a day, the periods of exposure are spaced at Reast 1 hour apart, and the Time Weighted Average is not exceeded), is 3 ppm (0.0003%). Since the least detectable odor is 3,5 ppm (0.00035%), immediate action should be taken if an employee smells chlorine. Since chlorine is heavier than air, it is slow to disperse and tends to accumulate in low places. When contaminated with water, it will form an acid which car corrode almost all container metals. This means that if there is a leak in a tank, the hole tends to enlarge.

Chlorine was produced by alchemists as early as the thirteenth century, but the first real study of the substance did not take place until 1774. Chlorine was first used to make bleach in 1779. Today it is used to make chlorinated cleaning solvents (carbon tetra chloride, trichloroethylene), plastics (vinyl chloride neoprene), and acids (hydrochloric, chloroacetic). One of its few direct uses is in water purification.

Chlorine is an important commodity: in terms o volume produced, it ranked eighth among chemicals in 1979. Chlorine is usually produced by electrolyzing sodium chloride (table salt) and potassium chloride Caustic soda and caustic potash are by-products. The electrolysis units work somewhat like primary bat teries running backwards, with electricity used as the "fuel."

Chlorine is regulated by the U.S. Coast Guard (which has designated it as a Cargo of Particula Hazard), by the U.S. Department of Transportation (which classifies it as a nonflammable gas), by the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (which assigns it t pollution category A), and by the Intergovernmenta Maritime Consultative Organization (which consider it a liquefied gas). Because of chlorine's high toxicity the Coast Guard allows it to be transported only o unmanned barges carrying no more than 1200 tons individual tanks aboard each barge may hold no mor than 300 tons.

The Coast Guard has studied liquefied chlorin spills on water and is now participating in internation al studies of heavier-than-air vapor dispersion, whic would be applicable to chlorine. Nontoxic gases wi be used in place of chlorine, however.

Those desiring more information on chlorine migh wish to contact the Chlorine Institute, Inc., 34 Madison Ave, New York, NY 10173, Tel. : (212) 682 4324. The Institute is a nonprofit organization con cerned with safety in the manufacture, transportation distribution, and use of chlorine.

ALAN L. SCHNEIDER, Sc.D., and CURTIS PAYNE, B.A **Hazard Evaluation Branch**

Cargo and Hazardous Materials Division



December 198

Annual Index

Volume 37

At ease, Savannah: the Coast Guard's on the job	144
Calhoon MEBA Engineering School, The	56
CG 478, LNG and LPG: Views and Practices, Policy and Safety	80
Chemical of the Month	176
Engineroom Ablaze! (MV MARY TURECAMO)	20
Fumigation of Ships' Holds, Unmanned Barges and Freight Containers	36
Helicopter Evacuation at Sea	14
Keynotes	158
Lessons from Casualties	30
Marine Safety Council Membership	73
Marine Safety Manual ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	18
Marine Safety Photography Contest	9
Marine Safety Poster Contest	8
Maritime Institute of Technology and Graduate Studies. The	04
Maritime Sidelights	55
Merchant Marine Personnel Statistics	11
Merchant Marine Safety Publications	79
MIO New Orleans Takes to the Air	32
Nautical Querics	78
Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circulars	74
Operation Physician · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	86
Petaluma Experience, The	62
R.E.O. Looks at Radar, The	00
"Safe for workers" means "Safe for marine inspectors"	62
Ship Structure Reports	10
Smart Duck Club, The	80.
Statistics of Casualties 1979	66
Toxic Hazard Awareness in Response to Hazardous Materials Spills	19
United States Merchant Marine Academy, The	24
Williamson Turn Tests on the EL PASO ARZEW	31
1980 International Ice Patrol Service	42

Proceedings of the Marine Safety Council

Nautical Queries

The following items are examples of questions included in the Third Mate through Master examinations and the Third Assistant Engineer through Chief Engineer examinations.

DECK

(1) The primary wind belt which has the greatest effect on the set, drift, and depth of the equatorial currents is the

- A. doldrums,
- B. horse latitudes.
- C. trade winds.
- D. prevailing westerlies.

REFERENCE: Bowditeh, Duttons

- (2) "Priming" of the tides oeeurs
 - A. at times of new and full moon.
 - B. when the earth, moon, and sun are lying approximately on the same line.
 - C. when the moon is between first guarter and full and between third guarter and new.
 - D, when the moon is between new and first quarter and between full and third quarter.

REFERENCE: Bowditch

- (3) Charted depth is the
 - A. vertical distance from the tidal datum to the ocean bottom plus the height of the tide.
 - B. vertical distance from the tidal datum to the ocean bottom.
 - C. average height of water over a specified period of time.
 - D. average height of all low waters at a place.

REFERENCE: Bowditch

(4) Which is true concerning the speed of fronts?

- A. Cold fronts move faster than warm fronts.
- B. Cold fronts move more slowly than warm fronts.
- C. Cold fronts and warm fronts move with equal speed.
- D. Cold fronts move more slowly at the northern end, thus allowing the warm front to overtake the northern section.

REFERENCE: Meteorology

- (5) Set of current is
 - A. its velocity in knots.
 - B. direction from which it flows,
 - C. estimated eurrent.
 - D. direction towerd which it flows.

REFERENCE: Duttons

ENGINEER

(1) Which statement about copper wire sized by AWG numbers is correct?

- A. Number 12 AWG wire has a higher current rating than 10 AWG wire.
- B. Number 10 AWG wire has a higher dielectric strength than 12 AWG wire.
- C. Number 12 AWG wire is larger than number 10 AWG wire.
- D. Number 12 AWG wire at 25°C has more resistance per 1000 feet than 10 AWG wire at 25°C.

REFERENCE: Hubert

(2) "Thin-tipping" in moderu reaction turbines is a procedure designed to

- A. allow for axial expansion.
- B. allow for measurement of radial misalignment.
- C. reduce tip leakage.
- D. prevent casing damage.

REFERENCE: Noval Turbines

(3) Axial thrust in a single-flow multistage impulse turbine is minimal because

- I. there is no pressure drop across the moving blades.
- a dummy piston and cylinder are used at the turbine inlet end.
 - A. I only
 - B. II only
 - C. Both I and II
 - D. Neither I nor II

REFERENCE: Principles of Naval Engineering

(4) In a compression refrigeration cycle, the refrigerant temperature decreases the most in the

- A. evaporator.
- B. eondenser.
- C. eompressor.
- D. expansion valve.

REFERENCE: Althouse

(5) The direction of a hydraulic eargo winch is reversed by reversing the hydraulic pump

- I. shaft rotation.
- II. tilting box angle.
 - A. I only
 - B. II only
 - C. Either I or II
 - D. Neither I nor II

REFERENCE: Harrington

. ANSWERS

Pngineer 1.D;2.C;3.A;4.D;5.B

Deek LC;2.D;3.B;4.A;5.D

MERCHANT MARINE SAFETY PUBLICATIONS

The following publications may be obtained from the nearest marine safely office, marine inspection office or by writing: Commandani (G-CMA/TP28), U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, DC 20593. Certain publications listed below are regrints of various sections of the Code of Federal Regulations. Because changes to the rules and regulations are mark from time to time, these publications can be kept current between revisions only by referring to the Faderal Register. (Official changes to all Coast Guard-authored federal regulations are published as final rules in the Federal Register on Mondays or Thursdays.) Following the title of each publication in the table below are the dates of the most recent editions and changes, if any.

The Federal Register may be obtained by subscription (\$75 per year) or by individual copy (\$1 each) from SupDoes, U.S. Government Frinting Office, Washington, DC 20402.

TITLE OF PUBLICATION

NOTE: This is a newly revised list; please check carefully for changes.

CG-101-1 Specimen Examinations for Merchant Marine Deck Officers (2nd and 3rd Mate) (4-1-77). 1 CG-101-2 Specimen Examinations for Merchant Marine Deck Officers (Master and Chief Mate) (7-1-78). Rules and Regulations for Military Explosives and Hazardous Munitions (4-1-72). FR 7-21-72, 12-1-72, CG-108 6-18-75, 9-26-77, 5-12-80. CG-115 Marine Engineering Regulations (8-1-77). FR 9-26-77, 10-10-78, 11-16-78, 12-4-78, 3-12-79, 5-3-79, 2-19-80, 4-21-80, 9-29-80. Rules and Regulations for Tank Vessels (8-1-77). Cl.-1, 4-78. FR 1-3-77. 8-18-77, 9-12-77, 9-26-77, 9-29-77, 1-11-79, 3-12-79, 5-3-79, 6-14-79, 7-2-79, 11-19-79, 12-27-79, 1-31-80, 3-3-80, 4-3-80, 4-7-80, 4-10-80, 4-19-80, 5-5-80. 5-30-80, 8-7-80, 9-29-30, 11-24-80. 1 CG-123 Navigation Rules - International - Infinited (5-1-77). FR 7-11-77, 7-14-77, 9-26-77, 10-12-77, 11-3-77, 12-6-77, 12-15-77, 3-16-78. CG-169 CG-169-1 Colregs Demarcation Lines (7-15-77). Rules of the Road - Creat Lakes (7-1-72). FR 10-6-72, 11-4-72, t-16-73, 1-29-73, 5-8-73, 3-29-74, 6-3-74, 11-27-74, 4-18-75, 4-28-75, 10-22-75, 2-5-76, 1-13-77, 11-3-77, 12-6-77. 1 CG-172 Manual for the Safe Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids and Other Hazardous Products (9-1-76). Manual for Lifeboatmen, Able Seamen, and Qualified Members of Engine Department (3-1-73). Load Line Regulations (2-1-71). FR 10-1-71, 5-10-73, 7-10-74, 10-14-75, 12-8-75, 1-8-76, 7-24-78, CG-174 1.2 CG-175 1,2,4 CG-178 8-28-80. CG-177 Yacht Admeasurement and Documentation (9-72). CG-182-1 Specimen Examinations for Merchant Marine Engineers License (2nd and 3rd Assistant) (4-75). Specimen Examinations for Merchant Marine Engineer Licenses; First Assistant Engineer, Steam and Motor, CG-182-2 any Horsepower (4-76), CG-182-3 Specimen Examinations for Merchant Marine Engineer Licenses; Chief Engineer Steam and Motor, any Horsepower (4-76). CG-184 Roles of the Road--Western Rivers (8-1-72). FR 9-12-72, 12-28-72, 3-8-74, 3-25-74, 6-3-74, 11-27-74, 4-16-75, 4-28-75, 10-22-75, 2-5-76, 3-1-76, 6-10-76, 7-11-77, 12-6-77, 12-15-77. OLD CG-190, Equipment Lists (8-1-79), now <u>M18714.3</u>. 1 CG-184 CC-191 Rules and Regulations for Licensing and Certificating of Merchant Marine Personnel (11-1-76). FR 3-3-77. 5-16-77, 8-8-77, 4-9-79, 12-5-79, 10-20-80. CG-227 Laws Governing Marine Inspection (7-1-75). International Conventions & Conferences on Marine Safety (6-51). CG-242 Enternet contractions of Conferences on Marine Safety (6-51). Rules and Regulations for Cargo and Miscellaneous Vessels (9-1-7?). Ch-1, 3-17-78. FR 1-31-77, 9-26-77, 9-29-77. 12-19-77. 10-10-78. 1-11-79. 3-12-79. 5-3-79. 5-14-79. 7-2-79. 4-10-80. 5-5-80. 9-29-80. 10-20-80. 11-24-80. Rules and Regulations for Uninspected Vessels (4-7?). FR 9-26-77. 9-29-77. 5-14-79. 7-2-79. 12-17-79. 2-4-80. 2-19-80. 11-24-80. Functional Derivation Contraction Contracti 1,2.5 CG-257 CG-258 1 CG-259 Electrical Engineering Regulations (7-1-77). FR 9-26-77, 10-10-78, 11-16-79, 12-4-78. CC-268 Rules and Regulations for Manning of Vessels (7-1-77), FR 11-19-79, 10-20-60. Miscellancous Electrical Equipment List (5-73), Rules and Regulations for Small Passenger Vessels (7-1-77). Ch-1 3-17-78. FR 9-26-77, 10-25-77, 12-15-77, 7-17-78, 3-12-79, 6-1'-79, 7-2-79, 12-13-79, 2-19-80, 3-3-80, 9-29-80, 10-20-80, 11-24-80. 1 CG-293 CG-323 CG-329 Fire Fighting Manual for Tank Vessels (1-1-74). з CG-388 Chemical Data Guide for Bulk Shipment by Water (1976). Great Lakes Pilotage Regulations (7-76). Bridge to Bridge Radiotelephone Communications (12-1-72), FR 12-28-72, 3-8-74, 5-5-75, 7-11-77. G-403 CG-439 1 CG-467 Specimen Examinations for Uninspected Towing Vessel Operators (10-1-74). CG-474 When You Enter That Cargo Tank (3-76), I OLD CG 478, Liquefied Natural Gas and Liquefied Fetroleum Gas, Views and Practices, Policy and Safety (3-80), now M16616.4. CC-480 Oil Pollution Control for Tankermen (6-75). 1 CG-482 Benzene Safe Handling Fractices (12-76). CG-486 Shippers Guide to Hazardous Materials Regulations (Water Mode) (8-77). Safety for Small Passenger Vessels (8-77). CG-491 OLD CG-497, Rules and Regulations for Recreational Boating (12-78), now M 16752.2 (12-78) FR 7-19-79, Rules and Regulations for Foreign Vessels Operating in the Navigable Waters of the U.S. (12-1-77). Ch-1 5-7-80. FR 4-10-80, 4-14-80, 5-5-80, 5-8-80, 5-19-88, 5-22-80, 6-25-80, 5-30-80, 7-17-80, 7-21-80, 8-7-80. 3 CG-515 8-11-80, 8-14-80, 8-18-80, 8-28-80, 9-29-80, 10-16-80, 10-20-80, 10-23-80, 10-30-80, 11-24-80. Utilizing the Packaged Hazardous Materials Regulations, 49 CFR (5-78). Т CG-526 Safety of Life at Sea: Convention, with Regulations, London, June 17, 1960. Specifications for Merchant Vessel Equipment (Supparts of Chapter Q. 46 CPR, parts 160 to 164. 4-10-80, 5-5-80, 7-3-80, 9-29-80, 11-10-80. 1 Temporarily out of stock

2 Under revision

- Available only through Superintendent of Documents (SupDocs) 1
- 4 Text can be found in Tille 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 41-59 5 Text can be found in Tille 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 90-109.
- Cancelled

CG No.